



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH
AT AMARAVATI
(Special Original Jurisdiction)**

[3233]

THURSDAY, THE TWELFTH DAY OF FEBRUARY
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY SIX

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE B KRISHNA MOHAN

WRIT PETITION NO: 8774/2025

Between:

1. PENUPOTHU RANGAMMA, PENUPOTHU RANGAMMA, W/O. KOTESWARRAO, AGED 54 YEARS, OCC CULTIVATION, R/O. KOMADAVOLU, H/O. PALAGUDEM, ELURU RURAL MANDAL, ELURU DISTRICT ERSTWHILE WEST GODAVARI DISTRICT.

...PETITIONER

AND

1. THE STATE OF AP, REP. BY ITS PRINCIPAL SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT, REVENUE DEPARTMENT, SECRETARIAT, VELAGAPUDI AMARAVATHI, GUNTUR DISTRICT.
2. THE DISTRICT COLLECTOR, ELURU DISTRICT AT ELURU, (ERSTWHILE WEST GODAVARI DISTRICT).
3. THE REVENUE DIVISIONAL OFFICER, JANGAREDDYGUDEM REVENUE DIVISION AT JANGAREDDYGUDEM ELURU DISTRICT (ERSTWHILE WEST GODAVARI DISTRICT).
4. THE TAHSILDAR, KAMAVARAPUKOTA MANDAL, ELURU DISTRICT (EARSTWHILE WEST GODAVARI).
5. THE DISTRICT REGISTRAR, ELURU DISTRICT AT ELURU. (ERSTWHILE WEST GODAVARI DISTRICT).
6. THE SUBREGISTRAR, KAMAVARAPUKOTA, ELURU DISTRICT (EARSTWHILE WEST GODAVARI).
7. MADDIPATI KRISHNA KISHORE, S/O. LATE SUBBARAO, AGED 47 YEARS, OCC CULTIVATION, R/O. AADAMILLI VILLAGE, H/O. TADIKALAPUDI VILLAGE, KAMAVARAUPU KOTA MANDAL, ELURU DISTRICT (EARSTWHILE WEST GODAVARI).

...RESPONDENT(S):

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed therewith, the High Court may be pleased topleased to issue a writ, order or direction more particularly one in the nature of NRT OF MANDAMUS declaring the action of Respondents more particularly 5thRespondent in mutating the

name of 8th Respondent in all record of rights connected to Petitioners agricultural landed property admeasuring Ac. 2.00 Cents out of total extent Ac. 4.08 Cents covered by Sy.No. 267-1B (Earlier 267-1) of Tadikalapudi Revenue Village, Kamavarapukota Mandal, Eluru (Erstwhile West Godavari) District, without issuing any prior notice to him in contravention of law laid by the full bench of this Honble Court in Chinnam Pandurangam v. Mandal Revenue Officer Ors. cited as 2007 (6) ALD 348 (FB) as illegal, irregular, irrational and violative of provisions of Andhra Pradesh Rights in Land and Pattadar Passbook Act, 1971 and Rules framed there under and offends Articles 14, 21 and 300-A of the Constitution of India and consequently direct the Respondents more particularly Respondent No. 5 to restore the record of rights with Petitioners name by deleting the name of Respondent in respect of Petitioners said land without allowing registration of any documents connected thereto and pass

IA NO: 1 OF 2025

Petition under Section 151 CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased to suspend the operation of mutation entries stand in the name of 8th respondent connected to Petitioner's agricultural landed property admeasuring Ac. 2.00 Cents out of total extent Ac. 4.08 Cents covered by Sy.No. 267-1B (Earlier 267-1) of Tadikalapudi Revenue Village, Kamavarapukota Mandal, Eluru (Erstwhile West Godavari) District, consequently direct the 7th Respondent not to entertain any deed of conveyance being presented by the 8th Respondent, pending disposal of main writ petition and pass

IA NO: 1 OF 2026

Petition under Section 151 CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased May be pleased to receive the documents such as Copy of Order in I.A.No. 623/2025 in O.S. No. 16/2025, dt.16.04.2025 and Copy of Plaint in O.S. No. 16 of 2025, being filed herewith in W.P.No. 8774 of 2025 in the interests of justice and to pass

Counsel for the Petitioner:

1.SITA RAM CHAPARLA

Counsel for the Respondent(S):

1.GP FOR REVENUE

2.PATHURI SRINIVAS

3.GP FOR REGISTRATION AND STAMPS

The Court made the following Order:

Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and the learned Assistant Government Pleader for the respondent Nos.1 to 6 and the learned counsel appearing for the 7th respondent.

2. This writ petition is filed questioning the action of the 4th respondent in mutating the name of the 7th respondent in the revenue records for the subject land in an extent of Ac.2.00 cents in Sy.No.267-1B of Tadikalapudi Revenue Village, Kamavarapukota Mandal, Eluru District.

3. It is the case of the petitioner that the adangal copy dated 12.03.2025 discloses the name of the petitioner for the subject land in an extent of Ac.2.00 cents in Sy.No.267-1B of the said village. Suddenly, behind the back of the petitioner, the revenue records dated 17.03.2025 discloses the name of the 7th respondent for the same piece of land as stated above. The petitioner has not been given any opportunity before changing the mutation.

4. The learned counsel for the 7th respondent also submits that the 4th respondent can conduct fresh enquiry in this regard for the purpose of ascertaining the rightful person for mutation over the subject land.

5. The learned Assistant Government Pleader appearing for the respondent Nos.1 to 6 also submits that if the petitioner makes fresh

representation in respect of mutation of the subject land, the same would be considered by the 4th respondent following the due procedure.

6. In view of the above said facts and circumstances, the petitioner is permitted to submit representation enclosing all the necessary documents in support of her claim within a period of four (4) weeks from the date of receipt of this order. On receipt of the same, the 4th respondent shall consider and dispose of the same strictly in accordance with law by hearing all the parties concerned including the petitioner and the 7th respondent giving due opportunity and upon verification of the records and the subject land, appropriate decision shall be taken on its own merits as expeditiously as possible, preferably within a period of three (3) months thereafter. The 7th respondent shall also be given an opportunity to submit his counter/ objections if any for the representation of the petitioner in the course of the above said enquiry. Accordingly, necessary mutations shall be taken place in accordance with law.

7. Accordingly, the writ petition is disposed of. Interim order, if any, deemed to have been vacated. There shall be no order as to costs.

As a sequel, Miscellaneous Petitions pending, if any, shall stand closed.

JUSTICE B KRISHNA MOHAN

12.02.2026
NNN