



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH
AT AMARAVATI
(Special Original Jurisdiction)**

[3233]

**MONDAY, THE TWENTIETH DAY OF APRIL
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY SIX**

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE B KRISHNA MOHAN

WRIT PETITION NO: 8419/2026

Between:

1. PASALA NAGARAJU, S/O. P. RAMAIAH, AGED 55 YEARS,
R/O. SALAKALAM CHERUVU VILLAGE, SINGANAMALA
MANDALAM, ANANTHAPURAMU DISTRICT.
2. P. RAMESH, S/O. P. RAMAIAH, AGED 52 YEARS, R/O.
SALAKALAM CHERUVU VILLAGE, SINGANAMALA
MANDALAM, ANANTHAPURAMU DISTRICT.

...PETITIONER(S)

AND

1. THE STATE OF ANDHRA PRADESH, REP. BY ITS PRINCIPAL
SECRETARY, REVENUE DEPARTMENT, SECRETARIAT,
VELAGAPUDI, AMARAVATHI, GUNTUR DISTRICT-522238.
2. THE JOINT COLLECTOR, ANANTHAPURAMU,
ANANTHAPURAMU DISTRICT-515001.
3. THE REVENUE DIVISIONAL OFFICER, ANANTHAPURAMU,
ANANTHAPURAMU DISTRICT-515001.
4. THE TAHSILDAR, SINGANAMALA MANDAL,
ANANTHAPURAMU DISTRICT-515435.
5. PASALA NAGESHAM, S/O. PASLA RAMAIAH, AGED 58
YEARS, R/O. SALAKALAM CHERUVU VILLAGE,
SINGANAMALA MANDALAM, ANANTHAPURAMU DISTRICT-
515435.

...RESPONDENT(S):

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed therewith, the High Court may be pleased topleased to issue a writ of mandamus or any other appropriate writ order or direction declaring the action of the respondent No.4 in attempting to delete the petitioners names in 1b no. 904 and 1094 in respect land to an extent of 0.31 and 0.94 in Sy.No.403-11 in so far as 1st petitioner concerned and to an extent of 0.49 and 0.82 in Sy.No.403-11 in so far 2nd petitioner concerned situated at Rachanapalle Village, Singanamala Mandala, Ananthapuramu District in spite of pendency of appeal pending before the 2nd respondent against orders vide D.Dis.No.D2(B)/2 916/2025 dt.04.03.2026 passed by the 3rd respondent is illegal, arbitrary and consequently for deletion of 1B no. 904 and 1094 in respect land to an extent of 0.31 and 0.94 in Sy.No.403-11 in so far as 1st petitioner concerned and to an extent of 0.49 and 0.82 in Sy.No.403-11 in so far 2nd petitioner concerned of Rachanapalle Village, Singanamala Mandala, Ananthapuramu District in pursuant to the orders vide D.Dis.No.D2(B)/2916/2025 dt.04.03.2026 passed by the respondent no.3 and to pass

IA NO: 1 OF 2026

Petition under Section 151 CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased to direct the 4th respondent not to initiate any steps for deletion of 1B no. 904 and 1094 in respect land to an extent of 0.31 and 0.94 in Sy.No.403-11 in so far as 1st petitioner concerned and to an extent of 0.49 and 0.82 in Sy.No.403-11 in so far 2nd petitioner concerned situated at Rachanapalle Village, Singanamala Mandala, Ananthapuramu District in pursuant to the orders vide D.Dis.No.D2(B)/2916/2025 dt.04.03.2026 passed by the respondent no.3 pending disposal of the writ petition and pass

Counsel for the Petitioner(S):

1.N ASWARTHA NARAYANA

Counsel for the Respondent(S):

1.GP FOR REVENUE

The Court made the following Order:

Heard the learned counsel for the petitioners and the learned Assistant Government Pleader for the respondent Nos.1 to 4. The issuance of notice to the 5th respondent is dispensed with, as no prejudice would cause to him even if it is disposed of in his absence.

2. This writ petition is filed questioning the non-disposal of the revision petition by the 2nd respondent in Rc.No.1510/2026/Co-Ordn./ROR, dated 06.04.2026 and the stay application filed along with it against the orders of the 3rd respondent D.Dis.No.D2(B)/2916/2025 dated 04.03.2026.

3. On the other hand, the learned Assistant Government Pleader relying upon the written instructions of the 2nd respondent dated 06.04.2026 submits that the revisional authority will follow the due procedure and dispose of the revision petition.

4. In view of the above said facts and circumstances, recording the said written instructions of the 2nd respondent dated 06.04.2026, the 2nd respondent is directed to consider and dispose of the said revision strictly in accordance with law by hearing all the parties concerned including the petitioners and the 5th respondent giving due opportunity, upon verification of the records and the subject land, appropriate decision shall be taken on its own merits as expeditiously as possible, preferably within a period of four (4) months from the date of receipt of

this order. Pending the revision, there shall be an order of *status quo* as on today to be maintained with respect to the mutation of names of the parties concerned in the revenue records for the subject lands covered under the above said revision.

5. Accordingly, the writ petition is disposed of. The written instructions of the 2nd respondent dated 06.04.2026 shall be made as part of the court record. Interim order, if any, deemed to have been vacated. There shall be no order as to costs.

As a sequel, Miscellaneous Petitions pending, if any, shall stand closed.

20.04.2026
NNN

JUSTICE B KRISHNA MOHAN