

HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH AT AMARAVATI

MAIN CASE No.: W.P.No.8425 of 2026

PROCEEDING SHEET

Sl. No	DATE	ORDER	OFFICE NOTE
02.	06.04.2026	<u>DR, J</u> Learned counsel for the petitioner has contended that the impugned orders are basically statutory violations. The respondents have not followed the procedure prescribed under Rule 20 of the APCS (CC&A) Rules for conducting the enquiry. This is evident from the enquiry report itself. Except for extracting the charges and the explanation submitted by the petitioner, no evidence is available in the findings of the enquiry officer. Apart from that, no witnesses were examined and no documents were marked, which is contrary to sub-clause (18) of Rule 20 of the Rules. Further, charge sheet is filed in a criminal case for the very same offences. The impugned dismissal order is also not a speaking order, as it merely relies on the report of the enquiry officer. Learned Government Pleader requested short time to file counter to meet the above said contentions. List the matter after four (4) weeks. _____ DR, J lvd	

--	--	--	--

