

IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH AT AMARAVATI

FRIDAY ,THE 10th DAY OF JULY 2026



:PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE TUHIN KUMAR GEDELA

CRIMINAL PETITION NO: 2285 OF 2020

Between:

Jalla Naga Durga, W/o. Praveen Kumar, Age 34, R/o.D.No.61-15-6(A),
VMR Road, Ramlingeshwara Nagar, Vijayawada.

...Petitioner/Accused No. 1

AND

1. The State of AP, Rep. by its Inspector of Police, Suryaraopet Police Station, Vijayawada, Krishna District.
2. Tangirala Venkata Narasimha Murthy, S/o. T.K.V.Sastry, Aged 45 years, Advocate, R/o. D.No.11-141 and 144, Srirama Nagar, Yerrabalem Village, Mangalagiri Mandal, Guntur District.

...(Defacto Complainant)

Respondents

Petition under Section 482 of Cr.P.C, is filed praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the Criminal Petition, the High Court may be pleased to quash the C.C. No. 1014 of 2019 on the file of the Court of the I Additional Metropolitan Magistrate, Vijayawada.

IA NO: 1 OF 2020:

Petition under Section 151 CPC is filed praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased to stay all further proceedings including arrest of the petitioner in C.C. No. 1014 of 2019 on the file of the Court of the I Additional Metropolitan Magistrate, Vijayawada, pending disposal of CrI.P.No. 2285 of 2020, on the file of the High Court.

The petition coming on for hearing, upon perusing the Petition and the affidavit filed in support thereof and the orders of the High Court dated: 19-06-2020, 26-08-2020, 09.09.2020, 18.02.2021, 04-03-2021, 06.04.2021, 27.04.2021, 7.12.2021, 03.03.2022, 24.06.2022, 10.08.2022, 22.09.2022, 23.11.2022, 05.01.2023, 14.03.2023, 08.05.2023, 26.07.2023, 23.09.2023, 29.09.2023, 18.10.2023, 24.11.2023, 05.12.2023, 12.12.2023, 19.12.2023, 11.01.2024, 22.03.2024, 04.04.2024, 05.07.2024, 21.01.2026 , 17.03.2026 & 04.05.2026 made herein and upon hearing the arguments of Sri VENKATESWARA RAO GUDAPATI, Advocate for the Petitioner and of PUBLIC PROSECUTOR for the Respondent No.1 and of Sri V. SATYANARAYANA PRASAD, Advocate for the Respondent No.2, the Court made the following;

ORDER:

“Heard Sri Venkateswara Rao Gudapati, learned counsel for the petitioner and Sri V.S.R. Anjaneyulu, learned Senior Counsel representing Sri Vajjhala Satyanarayana Prasad, learned counsel appearing for the de-facto complainant/ respondent No.2.

Prima facie, upon the submissions made by both the learned counsels, that the lis is between the petitioner and the de-facto complainant, who are advocates and also the fact that the complaint is instituted basing upon the conclusion of an enquiry, which was initiated by the Bezawada Bar Association, whose jurisdiction itself is doubted in the present case on hand as incongruous.

Without going into the merits of the case, after taking consent from both the learned counsels, this Court feels it appropriate to refer the matter to mediation.

Registry is directed to place the file before the Mediation Center, High Court and appoint a Senior Mediator to mediate the case.

Post on 31.07.2026.

Interim order granted earlier shall stand extended by a further period of four (04) weeks."

SD/- J.GAYATRI DEVI
ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

//TRUE COPY//

SECTION OFFICER

To,

1. The Director, Mediation Centre, High Court of Andhra Pradesh at Amaravati (**By Special Messenger**)
2. The I Additional Metropolitan Magistrate, Vijayawada, Krishna District.
3. The Inspector of Police, Suryaraopet Police Station, Vijayawada, Krishna District.
4. Two CCs to the PUBLIC PROSECUTOR, High Court, A.P.(OUT)
5. One CC to Sri VENKATESWARA RAO GUDAPATI, Advocate [OPUC]
6. One CC to Sri V. SATYANARAYANA PRASAD, Advocate [OPUC]
7. One spare copy

HIGH COURT

GTK,J

DATED:10/07/2026

POST ON 31.07.2026

ORDER

CRLP.No.2285 of 2020

DIRECTION

