



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH
AT AMARAVATI
(Special Original Jurisdiction)**

[3329]

**FRIDAY, THE NINETEENTH DAY OF JUNE
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY SIX**

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE VENKATESWARLU NIMMAGADDA

CONTEMPT CASE NO: 2093/2023

Between:

Dr. Burla Sunitha Venkata Seshamamba

...PETITIONER

AND

Dr Y Radha Rani and Others

...CONTEMNOR(S)

Counsel for the Petitioner:

1.KISHORE KUMAR.A

Counsel for the Contemnor(S):

1.S PRANATHI

The Court made the following:

CONTEMPT CASE NO: 2093/2023

Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and learned counsel for the respondents.

This Court on 21.04.2026 specifically directed respondents to comply with the orders of this Court by the next date of hearing, failing which, the respondents shall appear before this Court and explain reasons why the orders of this Court are not complied with. But the respondents neither appeared in person nor complied with the orders of this Court but the conditional order was not brought to the notice of this Court. At request of both the learned counsel, the matter was posted to yesterday, but the matter was listed today.

Learned counsel for the respondents furnished written instructions dated 16.06.2026 issued by the respondent No.2, wherein, the Associate Dean of the Dr.NTR College of Food Science and Technology, Bapatla is directed for furnishing compliance report.

On perusal of the entire record, it is observed that every time whenever this Court passed a conditional order, respondent Nos. 2 and 3 furnishing some proceedings as if they are complying with the orders of this Court, but avoiding actual compliance and appearance intentionally. Even today, some proceedings were furnished in compliance of the orders of this Court. On perusal, it is only a proceeding indicating that the respondent Nos. 2 and 3 are taking steps to comply with the orders of this Court. Therefore, issuance of proceedings one after the other, whenever matter is posted for conditional

appearance, is only to make believe the court that the same were issued in compliance of the orders of this Court. The fact remains that, till date, the orders of this Court not complied with. This contempt is adjourned more than 58 occasions.

Hence, respondent Nos. 2 and 3 are hereby directed to appear before this Court on the next date of hearing and explain the reasons why the orders of this Court are not complied with even though the matter underwent more than 58 adjournments and even it is posted for compliance and conditional appearance for more than 10 times.

For appearance of respondent Nos. 2 and 3, list the matter on 21.07.2026.

19.06.2026
TPS/BSP

VENKATESWARLU NIMMAGADDA, J