

APHC010162712026



IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH
AT AMARAVATI
(Special Original Jurisdiction)

[3396]

TUESDAY, THE SEVENTH DAY OF APRIL
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY SIX

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE DR. JUSTICE VENKATA JYOTHIRMAI PRATAPA

CRIMINAL PETITION NO: 2458/2026

Between:

NAKKA RAJEEV BABU ALIAS RAJU, S/O.KANAKANANDAM, AGE 39
YEARS, SUBBARAO PETA, KATHERU, RAJAHMUNDRY RURAL MANDAL,
EAST GODAVARI DISTRICT.

...PETITIONER/ACCUSED

AND

THE STATE OF ANDHRA PRADESH, REP. BY PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,
HIGH COURT AT AMARAVATI, THROUGH STATION HOUSE OFFICER, III
TOWN L&O POLICE STATION, RAJAHMAHENDRAVARAM

...RESPONDENT/COMPLAINANT

Counsel for the Petitioner/accused:

1.B.SUDHAKAR KUMAR

Counsel for the Respondent/complainant:

1.PUBLIC PROSECUTOR

The Court made the following:

ORDER:

This Criminal Petition under Sections 480 and 483 of Bharatiyana
Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 (for short 'BNSS'), has been filed by the
Petitioner / Accused No.5, seeking regular bail in connection with Crime
No.93 of 2026 of III Town L&O Police Station, Rajamahendravaram, East
Godavari District, registered for the offences under Sections 318(4) and
61(2) read with 3(5) of Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023 (for short 'BNS') and
Section 8(c) read with 20(b)(ii)(B) of Narcotic Drugs and Psychotropic
Substances Act (for short 'NDPS Act'), Sections 25 (1) (A), 25(1-B)a, 27(1),
29 Arms Act.

2. Heard Sri B.Sudhakar Kumar, learned counsel for the Petitioner and Ms.K.Priyanka Lakshmi, learned Assistant Public Prosecutor representing the Respondent/State.

3. Learned counsel for the Petitioner would submit that Accused Nos.1 to 4 are the tenants of Petitioner / Accused No.5 and therefore, the Petitioner is falsely implicated in the present crime. Learned counsel would further submit that the contraband involved in this crime is 5.100 kgs which is a non-commercial quantity. It is further submitted that there are no criminal antecedents against the Petitioner. Hence, prayed for dismissal of the petition.

4. Learned Assistant Public Prosecutor opposed the petition and would submit that there are specific allegations against the Petitioner. It is further submitted that the investigation is not yet completed and statutory period is not yet over. There are no grounds to grant anticipatory bail to the Petitioner at this stage. Hence, prayed for dismissal of the petition.

5. Having regard to the stage of investigation, the nature of allegations, and the submissions made by the learned Assistant Public Prosecutor, this Court is not inclined to grant regular bail to the Petitioner at this juncture.

6. Accordingly, the Criminal Petition is dismissed.

As a sequel thereto, miscellaneous petitions pending, if any, shall stand closed.

Dr.JUSTICE VENKATA JYOTHIRMAI PRATAPA

Dt.07.04.2026
Dinesh

HON'BLE DR. JUSTICE VENKATA JYOTHIRMAI PRATAPA

CRIMINAL PETITION No.2458 of 2026

Dated:07.04.2026

Dinesh