



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH
AT AMARAVATI
(Special Original Jurisdiction)**

[3521]

WEDNESDAY, THE ELEVENTH DAY OF FEBRUARY
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY SIX

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE DR JUSTICE Y. LAKSHMANA RAO

CRIMINAL PETITION NO: 2654/2021

Between:

1. BUDDHA MURALI KRISHAN, S/O BALA RAMASWAMY, AGED 27 YEARS, OCC. LECTURER, R/O. KJ.PURAM VILLAGE, MADIGULA MANDAL, VISAKHAPATNAM DISTRICT.

...PETITIONER/ACCUSED

AND

1. THE STATE OF ANDHRA PRADESH, Through Sub Inspector of Police, Chodavaram P.S., Visakhapatnam District, Rep. by its Public Prosecutor High Court, At Amaravati.

...RESPONDENT/COMPLAINANT

Counsel for the Petitioner/accused:

1. N SRIHARI

Counsel for the Respondent/complainant:

1. PUBLIC PROSECUTOR (AP)

The Court made the following:

ORDER:

Criminal Petition has been filed under Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 (for brevity 'the Cr.P.C.,') by the Petitioner seeking quashment of the proceedings in C.C.No.21 of 2018 on the file of the learned Additional Judicial First Class Magistrate, Chodavaram, Visakhapatnam District.

2. Heard the learned Counsel for the Petitioner and the learned Assistant Public Prosecutor.

3. The allegation levelled against the Petitioner/Accused No.7 is that he prepared answers to the questions contained in a question paper intended for an ongoing examination.

4. Learned Counsel for the Petitioner contends that the Petitioner has not committed any offence and that he has been falsely implicated in the case. He therefore seeks quashment of the proceedings.

5. *Per contra*, Sri A.Sai Rohith, learned Assistant Public Prosecutor, submits that the Petitioner, fully aware that the question paper pertained to an ongoing examination, in collusion with other accused persons, prepared answers thereto and thereby shared a common guilty intention.

6. The question as to whether the Petitioner harboured a culpable intention in concert with the other accused, in relation to the alleged preparation of answers to the ongoing examination question paper, is a matter to be adjudicated upon in the course of trial based on evidence. This Court

cannot embark upon a mini-trial. In view of the specific allegations raised against the Petitioner, there are no merits in this Criminal Petition.

7. Having regard to the overall facts and circumstances of the case, the Criminal Petition is disposed of. The presence of the Petitioner, who is employed as a lecturer in Bangalore, is hereby dispensed with before the learned Trial Court unless it is otherwise specifically required. The learned Trial Court is directed to proceed with the matter expeditiously and submit a report to the Registrar (Judicial).

As a sequel, miscellaneous petitions pending, if any, shall stand closed.

Dr. Y. LAKSHMANA RAO, J

Dated: 11.02.2026
VTS