

IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH AT AMARAVATI
(SPECIAL ORIGINAL JURISDICTION)

FRIDAY, THE FOURTEENTH DAY OF NOVEMBER
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY FIVE

:PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE GANNAMANENI RAMAKRISHNA PRASAD



WRIT PETITION NO: 8271 OF 2025

Between:

Tulluru Lift Irrigation Scheme Rythula Abhivrudhi Sankshema Sangham,
Having its registered office at Thullur Mandal, Guntur District, Andhra Pradesh
Represented by its President Mr. Jonnalagadda Ravi

...Petitioner

AND

1. The State of Andhra Pradesh, Represented by its Principal Secretary (MA AND UD), Department, Secretariat, Velagapudi, Amaravati, Guntur, A.P.
2. Andhra Pradesh Capital Region Development Authority (APCRDA), Vijayawada, Krishna District, Andhra Pradesh, Rep. by its Commissioner.
3. The Special Deputy Collector AND Competent Authority, APCRDA Unit No. 20 AND 21, Thullur Village, Guntur District, Andhra Pradesh
4. Gorijala Venkateswara Rao, S/o Ramalingaiah Aged about 70 years R/o Thullur Village, Guntur District, Andhra Pradesh
5. Gorijala Koteswara Rao, S/o Ramalingaiah Aged about 66 years R/o Thullur Village, Guntur District, Andhra Pradesh
6. Gorijala Veera Chandra Sekhar, S/o Ramalingaiah, Aged about 60 years R/o Thullur Village, Guntur District, Andhra Pradesh
7. Gorijala Siva Paravathi, W/o G Peddaiah Aged about 69 years R/o Thullur Village, Guntur District, Andhra Pradesh
8. Thullur Prakash, S/o Late Maruthi Nageswara Prasad, Aged about 56 years R/o Thullur Village, Guntur District, Andhra Pradesh

9. Gorijala Thirumalaiah, S/o Ramanjeneyulu, Aged about 70 years R/o Thullur Village, Guntur District, Andhra Pradesh

...Respondents

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed therewith, the High Court may be pleased to issue a writ, order or direction more particularly one in the nature of the writ of MANDAMUS declaring the proceedings vide Rc No. 06/2025/DT dated 03.03.2025 passed by the Respondent No. 3 herein thereby declaring the Respondents No. 4 to 9 herein as the owners of the lands admeasuring an extent of Ac, 0.23 Cents in Sy No. 21, Ac. 0.27 Cents and Ac. 0.24 Cents in Sy No. 19 respectively for the purpose of land pooling scheme as illegal arbitrary, unjust, beyond its jurisdiction and contrary to the provisions of the Andhra Pradesh Capital Region Development Authority Act 2014 and the Andhra Pradesh Capital City Land Pooling Scheme Formulation and Implementation Rules, 2015 besides being violative of principles of natural justice and Articles 14, 21 and 300A of the Constitution of India and consequently set aside the same;

IA NO: 1 OF 2025

Petition under Section 151 CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased to suspend the operation of the proceedings vide Rc No. 06/2025/DT dated 03.03.2025 passed by the Respondent No. 3.

IA NO: 2 OF 2025

Petition under Section 151 CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased to direct the Respondents No. 3 herein not to relapse the annuity and allot any returnable plots in favour of the Respondents No. 4 to 9 herein pursuant to the proceedings vide Rc No. 06/2025/DT dated 03.03.2025

passed by the Respondent No. 3 and to direct Respondent No. 1 to 3 to not allot any returnable plots in favour of Respondents 4 to 9.

The petition coming on for hearing, upon perusing the Petition and the affidavit filed in support thereof and the earlier orders of the High Court order dated 28.03.2025 & 14.07.2025 made herein and upon hearing the arguments of Sri Shaik Md Umar Abdullah Advocate for the Petitioner and of GP for Municipal Admn Urban Dev for the Respondent No.1, Smt. S Pranathi, Standing Counsel for R2 and R3, the Court made the following;

ORDER:

Heard Ms.A Jyothi Ratna, learned Counsel representing Sri.Shaik Md.Umar Abdullah, learned Counsel for the writ Petitioner, Sri.M.Srinu Babu, learned Government Pleader for Respondent No.1, Ms.R.Maitraie, learned Counsel appearing on behalf of Ms.S.Pranathi, learned Standing Counsel for the Respondent Nos.2 & 3 and Sri Harsha Vardhan, learned Counsel representing Sri Phaniduth, learned Counsel for the Unofficial respondent Nos.4 to 9.

2. Pleadings are complete.
3. List the matter on 15.12.2025, for final hearing.
4. Parties are directed to file Synopsis and chronological sequence of events along with citations.
5. Liberty to file re-joinder in the meantime. None appeared for the Respondent.
6. Interim Order granted earlier shall continue till the next listing.

SD/-A.VIJAYA BABU
DEPUTY REGISTRAR

//TRUE COPY//

I  SECTION OFFICER

To,

1. One CC to SRI. SHAIK MD UMAR ABDULLAH Advocate [OPUC]
2. Two CCs to GP FOR MUNICIPAL ADMN URBAN DEV, High Court of Andhra Pradesh. [OUT]
3. One CC to Sri J.V.Phaniduth, Advocate [OPUC]
4. One CC to M/s S.Pranathi, Standing Counsel [OPUC]
5. One CC to Sri.M.Srinu Babu, Standing Counsel [OPUC]
6. One CC to Ms.Jyothi Ratna, Standing Counsel [OPUC]
7. One CC to Sri.Harsha Vardha, Standing Counsel [OPUC]
8. One spare copy

HIGH COURT

GRKP,J

DATED: 14.11.2025.

NOTE: List the matter on 15.12.2025, for final hearing.

WP.No.8271 of 2025

INTERIM ORDER EXTENDED

