



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH
AT AMARAVATI
(Special Original Jurisdiction)**

[3331]

FRIDAY ,THE TWENTY EIGHTH DAY OF MARCH
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY FIVE

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE SUBBA REDDY SATTI

WRIT PETITION NO: 8271/2025

Between:

Tulluru Lift Irrigation Scheme Rythula Abhivrudhi Sankshema ...**PETITIONER**
Sangham

AND

The State Of Ap and Others **...RESPONDENT(S)**

Counsel for the Petitioner:

1.SHAIK MD UMAR ABDULLAH

Counsel for the Respondent(S):

1.GP FOR MUNICIPAL ADMN URBAN DEV

The Court made the following:

Notice before admission.

Heard Smt. Jyothi Ratna Anumolu, learned counsel representing Sri Shaik Md. Umar Abdul, learned counsel for the petitioner; learned Government Pleader for Municipal Administration and Urban Development, for respondent No.1 and Sri Dheeraj, learned counsel representing Smt. S. Pranathi, learned standing counsel for respondents 2 and 3.

Impugning the proceedings issued by respondent No.3 vide Rc.No.06/2025/DT dated 03.03.2025 (Ex.P1), the above writ petition is filed.

Learned counsel for the petitioner would submit that without considering the claim of the petitioner, respondent No.3 passed the order impugned.

Learned counsel for respondents 2 and 3 would submit that deponent did not file authorization, along with the writ petition.

Whether the order impugned is legally sustainable or not needs to be considered after filing of comprehensive counter by respondents 2 and 3 as well as by respondents 4 to 9.

Given the facts and circumstances of the case, respondent No.3 shall not take any further steps in pursuance of Rc.No.06/2025/DT dated 03.03.2025 (Ex.P1), for a period of four weeks.

Post on 25.04.2025.

Learned counsel for the petitioner shall file resolution, if any, passed by the members of the Society, authorizing the president to file the writ petition, by next date of hearing.

SUBBA REDDY SATTI,J

Date:28.03.2025

IKN