



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH
AT AMARAVATI
(Special Original Jurisdiction)**

[3396]

**TUESDAY, THE SEVENTH DAY OF APRIL
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY SIX**

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE DR JUSTICE VENKATA JYOTHIRMAI PRATAPA

CRIMINAL PETITION NO: 2467/2026

Between:

1. PRASAD ALIAS MALLELA HEMANTH PRASAD, , S/O. HARIDASU, AGED 31 YEARS, R/O. D. NO. 1-52, DAMPETIA VILLAGE, BATHALAPALLI MANDAL, SRI SATYA SAI DISTRICT, ANDHRA PRADESH.

...PETITIONER/ACCUSED

AND

1. THE STATE OF ANDHRA PRADESH, , Represented by its Public Prosecutor, High Court of Andhra Pradesh, High Court Buildings at Nelapadu, Guntur District, Andhra Pradesh. (SHO, Bathalapalli Police Station, Sri Sathya Sai District

...RESPONDENT/COMPLAINANT

Petition under Section 437/438/439/482 of Cr.P.C and 528 of BNSS praying that in the circumstances stated in the Memorandum of Grounds of Criminal Petition, the High Court pleased to release the petitioner on anticipatory bail in the event of his arrest in Crime No. 151/2025 dated 15.11.2025 on the file of the SHO, Bathalapalli Police Station, Sri Sathya Sai District, Andhra Pradesh and pass

Counsel for the Petitioner/accused:

1. A VARALAKSHMI

Counsel for the Respondent/complainant:

1. PUBLIC PROSECUTOR

The Court made the following:**ORDER:**

This Criminal Petition under Section 482 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 by the Petitioner/A.5 seeking to enlarge him on bail in the event of his arrest in connection with Crime No.151 of 2025 of Bathalapalli Police Station, Sri Sathya Sai District, which is registered for the offences punishable under Sections 329(4), 118(1), 115(2), 324(2), 351(2) read with 3(5) of BNS, 2023 and subsequently, added Section 118(2) of BNS, 2023.

2. The brief facts of the case are that

i) on 15.11.2025 A.1 to A.7 armed with weapons and chilli powder criminally trespassed into the house of the Complainant, assaulted him and his wife and his son and threatened them with dire consequences. They damaged the TV, fridge and other households and caused blood swelling and injuries to them. Based on the complaint of the *de facto* complainant, the above case in Crime No.151 of 2025 is registered.

ii) The motive to the incident is that the third daughter (Mallela Geeta) of the *de facto* Complainant (Crime No.150 of 2025) found missed and on their search she was found nowhere in the house or nearby places. The *de facto* complainant suspected the Accused as the Accused and her third daughter used to talk frequently as the houses are near to each other. Based on the complaint given by the *de facto* Complainant, a case in Crime No.150 of 2025 is registered against the Accused therein.

3. Heard Sri K.Chetan, learned counsel for the Petitioner would submit that the Petitioner is arrayed as A.5 and he is falsely implicated in the above case with baseless allegations. Further, the present case is filed as a counter blast to the Crime No.150 of 2025. The Petitioner is a private employee at Bangalore and requested to grant anticipatory bail to him.

5. Learned Assistant Public Prosecutor on instructions would submit that it is not a fit case for grant of bail since there are specific allegations made against the Petitioner to attract the alleged offences. Further, the earlier petitions *vide* CrI.M.P.No.17 of 2026 on the file of the Court of Additional Judicial Magistrate of First Class, Dharmavaram was dismissed on 27.01.2026 and the CrI.M.P.No.127 of 2026 on the file of the Court of VII Additional District and Sessions Judge, Ananthapuramu was also dismissed on 19.02.2026 and there are no changed circumstances in the present criminal petition, which is filed for grant of anticipatory bail.

6. Considering the submissions made and a fair look at the material on record would show that undisputedly, the application seeking anticipatory bail filed by the Petitioner were dismissed by the Trial Courts. The present petition is filed without there being any substantial change in circumstances. It is well settled that successive bail applications are maintainable only when there is a material change in circumstances. In the absence of any such change, this Court is not inclined to consider the case of the Petitioners for grant of anticipatory bail.

7. Accordingly, this Criminal Petition is dismissed.

Pending applications, if any, shall stand closed.

DR.JUSTICE VENKATA JYOTHIRMAI PRATAPA

*Mjl/**
07.04.2026

HON'BLE DR. JUSTICE VENKATA JYOTHIRMAI PRATAPA

Criminal Petition No. 2467 of 2026

07.04.2026

*Mjl /**