



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH
AT AMARAVATI
(Special Original Jurisdiction)**

[3207]

THURSDAY, THE TWENTY THIRD DAY OF APRIL
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY SIX

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE BATTU DEVANAND

WRIT PETITION NO: 480/2017

Between:

1. AKANA DURGA VARA PRASAD,, S/O. SUBBA RAO, AGED 22 YEARS.
2. AKANA MURALI KRISHNA,, S/O. SUBBA RAO, AGED, 19, YEARS.
BOTH THE PETITIONERS ARE RIO. H. NO. 17-2-15/96, KRISHNA
BABU COLONY, RUSTUMBAK, NARSAPUR, WEST GODAVARI
DISTRICT.

...PETITIONER(S)

AND

1. STATE OF ANDHRA PRADESH, REP. BY ITS PRINCIPAL
SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT, HOME DEPARTMENT,
VELAGAPUDI, AMARAVTI CITY, GUNTUR DISTRICT.
2. SUPERINTENDENT OF POLICE, WEST GODAVARI DISTRICT AT
ELURU.
3. STATION HOUSE OFFICER, NARASAPURAM TOWN POLICE
STATION, WEST GODAVARI DISTRICT.
4. GOGI NAVEEN KUMAR, S/O. MOHAN KUMAR, AGED 24 YEARS,
R/O. PETHALAVARI STREET, 26TH WARD, NARASAPURAN TOWN
AND MANDAL, WEST GODAVARI DISTRICT.

...RESPONDENT(S):

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the
circumstances stated in the affidavit filed therewith, the High Court may be

pleased to issue a writ, order or direction more particularly one in the nature of WRIT OF MANDAMUS declaring the action of 3rd respondent in registering the case in Crime No. 188 of 2016, dt. 04.11.2016 against the petitioners for the offences punishable Under Sections 324 r/w. 34 IPC and Sections 3 (1) (x) of SC and ST [POA], Act, 1989, as illegal, irregular, 4, irrational, abuse of process of law and violative of provisions of Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 and offends Articles 14 and 21 of Constitution of India and consequently quash the same and pass

IA NO: 1 OF 2017(WPMP 534 OF 2017)

Petition under Section 151 CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased to order stay of all further proceedings including arrest of the petitioners in Crime No. 188 of 2016, dt. 04.11.2016 on the file of 3rd respondent, pending disposal of the main Writ Petition and pass such other order or orders as this Hon'ble Court may deem fit and proper in the circumstance of the case.

Counsel for the Petitioner(S):

1.RAMAKRISHNA AKURATHI

Counsel for the Respondent(S):

1.GP FOR HOME (AP)

2.DASARI S V V S V PRASAD

The Court made the following:

THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE BATTU DEVANAND

WRIT PETITION NO.480 OF 2017

ORDER:

Learned counsel for the petitioners would submit that in view of the subsequent developments happened during pendency of this Writ Petition, nothing survives in this Writ Petition for further adjudication and sought to dismiss the Writ Petition as infructuous.

2. Considering the submission of the learned counsel for the petitioners, the Writ Petition is dismissed as infructuous.

3. There shall be no order as to costs.

Miscellaneous petitions pending, if any, in this case shall stand closed.

JUSTICE BATTU DEVANAND

Dated: 23.04.2026
TM

THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE BATTU DEVANAND

WRIT PETITION NO: 480 of 2017

Dated: 23.04.2026
TM