



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH  
AT AMARAVATI  
(Special Original Jurisdiction)**

**[3521]**

TUESDAY, THE SEVENTH DAY OF APRIL  
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY SIX

**PRESENT**

**THE HONOURABLE DR JUSTICE Y. LAKSHMANA RAO**

**WRIT PETITION NO: 8158/2026**

**Between:**

GUTTULA VENKATESWARA RAO, S/O LATE G. GOVINDA RAO, AGED ABOUT 59 YEARS, OCC FAST FOOD CENTER OWNER, R/O D.NO.45-37-39B, JAGANNADHAPURAM, AKKAYYPALEM VISAKHAPATNAM - 530016.

**...PETITIONER**

**AND**

1. THE STATE OF ANDHRA PRADESH, REP. BY ITS PRINCIPAL SECRETARY, HOME DEPARTMENT, A.P. SECRETARIAT, VELAGAPUDI, -522238 GUNTUR DISTRICT, A.P.
2. THE DIRECTOR GENERAL OF POLICE, A.P. POLICE HEADQUARTERS, MANGALAGIRI-522503 GUNTUR DISTRICT, A.P.
3. THE COMMISSIONER OF POLICE, VISAKHAPATNAM CITY POLICE COMMISSIONERATE, VISAKHAPATNAM 530017.
4. THE ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER OF POLICE, LAW AND ORDER, VISAKHAPATNAM - 530017.
5. THE STATION HOUSE OFFICER, PM PALEM POLICE STATION, VISAKHAPATNAM - 530017.
6. THE SUBINSPECTOR OF POLICE, REP BY PM PALEM POLICE STATION, VISAKHAPATNAM - 530017.
7. PEKETI NARAYANA RAO, S/O PEKETI PRASADA RAO, AGED

ABOUT 36 YEARS, OCC BUILDER/MANAGING DIRECTOR, M/S SRI KRISHNA THANDAVA LLP, R/O D.NO.4-45-9, MIG-17, LAWSONS BAY COLONY, CHINAWALTAIR, VISAKHAPATNAM - 530017.

8. PEKETI PRASADA RAO, S/O UNKNOWN, AGED ABOUT 63 YEARS, OCC BUILDER, R/O D.NO.4-45-9, LAWSONS BAY COLONY, CHINAWALTAIR, VISAKHAPATNAM - 530017.
9. ESWARAPU GOPALA KRISHNA, S/O UNKNOWN, AGED ABOUT 61 YEARS, OCC RETIRED EMPLOYEE, R/O D.NO.4-45-9, MIG-17, LAWSONS BAY COLONY, CHINAWALTAIR, VISAKHAPATNAM - 530017.
- 10.ESWARAPU LALITHA, W/O ESWARAPU GOPALA KRISHNA, AGED ABOUT 58 YEARS, OCC HOUSEWIFE, R/O D.NO.4-45-9, MIG-17, LAWSONS BAY COLONY, CHINAWALTAIR, VISAKHAPATNAM - 530017.

**...RESPONDENT(S):**

**Counsel for the Petitioner:**

ALLA RAMA KRISHNA

**Counsel for the Respondent(S):**

GP FOR HOME

**The Court made the following:**

**ORDER:**

The Writ Petition has been filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India seeking the following relief:-

*“...to Issue a Writ of Mandamus declaring the actions of Respondents 4 5 and 6 in i Summoning the Petitioner to the 5th Respondent Police Station on 26.02.2026 04.03.2026 and 05.03.2026 without following the due process of law/ii The 6th Respondent threatening the Petitioner with registration of false criminal illegal arrest and dire consequences using verbal abuse to coerce payment of Rs 40 00 000/iii Repeatedly summoning the Petitioner through phone calls from number 919490623962 4th Respondent ACP and 91 8331041623 6th Respondent without written*

*notice fixing deadline 18032026 for payment to private Respondents 7 to 10 iv Interfering with the civil/contractual rights of the Petitioner over the schedule property and acting as agents/mediators for private Respondents 7 to 10 in a purely civil dispute arising from Development Agreement Doc No 3366/2021 cases as illegal arbitrary highhanded and violative of Articles 14 21 and 300A of the Constitution of India and consequently DIRECT Respondents 3 to 6 and their subordinate staff A Not to summon or call the Petitioner to the 5th Respondent Police Station or any other police station without following due process of lawB Not to interfere with threaten or coerce the Petitioner in any manner whatsoever regarding the civil dispute pertaining to Development Agreement Doc No 3366/2021 dt 25.06.2021 or the schedule propertyC To preserve and produce before this Honble Court the CCTV footage call data records CDR station diary entries and General Diary relating to visits of the Petitioner to the 5th Respondent Police Station on 26.02.2026 04.03.2026 and 05.03.2026 including details of calls from 91 8331041623 and 919490623962 And pass....”*

2. Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and the learned Assistant Government Pleader.
3. Mr. Alla Rama Krishna, learned counsel for the petitioner, submits that Respondent Nos.4 to 6 are summoning the petitioner to the police station and demanding that he settle the civil dispute with unofficial Respondent Nos.7 to 9 pertaining to the Development Agreement vide Doc.No.3366/2021 dated 25.06.2021.
4. Sri P. Ajay Babu, learned Assistant Government Pleader, on written instructions, submits that Respondent No.9 lodged a complaint alleging that the petitioner, by suppressing the existing land dispute, executed a Development Agreement and induced him to invest substantial amounts, thereby causing financial loss, and the same was forwarded for preliminary enquiry. He further submits that, despite repeated attempts, the petitioner did not respond to the calls of the police for enquiry, and that a third party also lodged a complaint on 06.03.2026 asserting competing rights over the

same property. Upon conducting preliminary enquiry, it is revealed that there are civil disputes between the petitioner and the unofficial respondents.

5. Considering the entire facts and circumstances of the case, and recording the submissions of the learned Assistant Government Pleader, the Writ Petition is disposed of, directing the respondent police not to call the petitioner to the police station henceforth and not to interfere in the civil disputes at the behest of Respondent No.9 without following due process of law.

6. With the above observations and directions, the Writ Petition is disposed of. There shall be no order as to costs.

As a sequel, Miscellaneous petitions, if any pending, shall stand closed.

---

**DR. Y. LAKSHMANA RAO, J**

Date: 07.04.2026  
RSI

**201**

**THE HONOURABLE DR JUSTICE Y. LAKSHMANA RAO**

**WRIT PETITION NO: 8158 of 2026**

Date:07.04.2026  
RSI