

APHC010147382019



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH  
AT AMARAVATI  
(Special Original Jurisdiction)**

[3396]

WEDNESDAY, THE SEVENTH DAY OF MAY  
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY FIVE

**PRESENT**

**THE HONOURABLE DR JUSTICE VENKATA JYOTHIRMAI PRATAPA**

**WRIT PETITION NO: 6478/2019**

**Between:**

Dr. P.n.v.prasad,

...PETITIONER

**AND**

The State Of Andhra Pradesh and Others

...RESPONDENT(S)

**Counsel for the Petitioner:**

1.T V JAGGI REDDY

**Counsel for the Respondent(S):**

1.GP FOR EDUCATION (AP)

2.S PRANATHI

**The Court made the following:**

**ORDER:**

This Writ Petition is filed under Article 226 of Constitution of India with the following prayer:

*“.....to issue writ, order or direction specially one in the nature of writ of Certiorari calling for the records in relation to Memo No.1103/V&LC/2019 dated 27-04-2019 where under petitioner was demoted from Principal Scientist (Agro) and Head Agricultural College Farm, Bapatla to Senior Scientist/Associate Professor, is illegal, arbitrary, violative Principles of Natural justice and Doctrine of fairness and consequently set aside the Memo No.1103/V&LC/2019 dated 27-04-2019 and direct the 2<sup>nd</sup> respondent to provide all the benefits attached to*

*Principal Scientist/Head Agricultural College, Bapatla and pass such other order or orders as are deemed fit and proper."*

2. Heard Sri K.Satyanand, learned counsel, representing Sri T.V.Jaggi Reddy, learned counsel for the petitioner and Ms.S.Pranathi, learned Standing Counsel for respondent-University.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner would submit that this Court passed interim order suspending the impugned order reverting the petitioner to Senior Scientist from the post of Principal Scientist. Learned counsel would submit that the respondent authorities have not complied the interim order. Then the petitioner moved a contempt case in C.C.No.313 of 2019. In that contempt case, the respondent authorities have complied the order. As such, no further orders are required in this matter and petition may be closed.

4. Learned Standing Counsel for respondent-University would submit that the petition may be disposed of recording the same.

5. Considering the submissions made, the Writ Petition is disposed of, since no further orders are required. There shall be no order as to costs.

As a sequel thereto, miscellaneous petitions pending, if any, shall stand closed.

---

**Dr. JUSTICE VENKATA JYOTHIRMAI PRATAPA**

Date: 07.05.2025

ARR

55

THE HONOURABLE DR JUSTICE VENKATA JYOTHIRMAI PRATAPA

**WRIT PETITION NO: 6478/2019**

**Dt.07.05.2025**

ARR