

IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH AT AMARAVATI

FRIDAY ,THE TWENTY EIGHTH DAY OF MARCH
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY FIVE

:PRESENT:

HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE VENUTHURUMALLI GOPALA KRISHNA RAO

IA No. 1 OF 2025

IN

TRCMP NO: 107 OF 2025

Between:

Boyapati Bharati @ Mandava Bharati, W/o. Mandava Sriram Prasad, aged 43 years, Occ- House Wife, Residing at SBM Park View Apartments, Srinagar Colony, Anantapuramu, Anantapuramu District.

...Petitioner/Respondent

(Petitioner in TRCMP 107 OF 2025
on the file of High Court)

AND

Mandava Sriram Prasad, S/o. M. Chandra Sekhar Rao, Aged 43 years, Occ-Business, Residing at D.No.11-158, Yenamalakuduru Lakulu, YSR Tadigadapa, NTR District

...Respondent/Petitioner

(Respondents in-do-)

Counsel for the Petitioner : SRI SESHADRI GOALLA

Counsel for the Respondent :---

Petition under Section 151 CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased to stay all further proceedings in FCOP No.91 of 2025 on the file of the XIV Additional District and Sessions Judge Cum Family Court Judge, Vijayawada, Pending disposal of TRCMP No. 107 of 2025, on the file of the High Court.



The court while directing issue of notice to the Respondents herein to show cause as to why this application should not be complied with, made the following order.(The receipt of this order will be deemed to be the receipt of notice in the case).

ORDER

“Heard Sri Goalla Seshadri, learned counsel for the petitioner.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner is none other than the wife of the respondent/husband, due to the matrimonial disputes between both the parties, the petitioner/wife along with a child aged about 5 ½ years are residing separately at Anantapuramu, Anantapuramu District and the parents of the petitioner/wife are no more.

He would further contend that to cause unnecessary inconvenience to the petitioner/ wife the respondent/husband had filed F.C.O.P.No.91 of 2025, on the file of the Family Court, Vijayawada, under Section 13(1)(ib) of the Hindu Marriage Act, 1955 seeking dissolution of marriage. He would further contend that the distance between Anantapuramu District and Vijayawada is approximately 400kms, and therefore, it is very difficult for the petitioner to appear before the Family Court, Vijayawada, on each and every adjournment without any male support and that the petitioner/wife is constrained to file the present transfer petition.

Perused the record.

Considering the submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioner and for the reasons mentioned in the accompanying affidavit, there shall be an interim stay on all further proceedings including the appearance of the petitioner i.e., the respondent in F.C.O.P.No.91 of 2025, on the file of the Family Court, Vijayawada, till 08.05.2025.

Learned counsel for the petitioner is permitted to take out personal notice to the respondent through Registered Post with Acknowledgment Due and file proof of service before the Registry."

//TRUE COPY//

A

**SD/- M.SRINIVAS
ASSISTANT REGISTRAR
SECTION OFFICER**

For A

To,

- 1. The Family Court, Vijayawada**
- 2. Mandava Sriram Prasad, S/o. M. Chandra Sekhar Rao, Aged 43 years,
Occ- Business, Residing at D.No.11-158, Yenamalakuduru Lakulu, YSR
Tadigadapa, NTR District(By RPAD)**
- 3. One CC to SRI. SESHADRI GOALLA Advocate [OPUC]**
- 4. One spare copy**

HIGH COURT

VGKR,J

DATED:28/03/2025

POST THE MATTER ON 08.05.2025.

ORDER

IA No. 1 OF 2025

IN

TRCMP NO: 107 OF 2025

INTERIM STAY

