



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH
AT AMARAVATI
(Special Original Jurisdiction)**

[3331]

THURSDAY, THE TWENTY SIXTH DAY OF FEBRUARY
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY SIX

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE SUBBA REDDY SATTI

CONTEMPT CASE NO: 793/2025

Between:

1.GSVVSSV PRASAD, S/O. PRAHMAYYA (LATE), AGED ABOUT 63 YEARS, OCC ENC (FAC) RETD TW ENGINEERING DEPT., VIJAYAWADA, R/O. H.NO. FLAT NO.201, LEGEND RESIDENCY, ROAD NO.2, SHANTHINAGAR COLONY, MASAB TANK, HYDERABAD.

...PETITIONER

AND

1.M M NAYAK, PRINCIPAL SECRETARY, TRIBAL WELFARE ENGINEERING DEPARTMENT SECRETARIAT, VELAGAPUDI, GUNTUR DISTRICT.
2.S SRINIVAS, ENGINEER-IN-CHIEF, TRIBAL WELFARE DEPARTMENT, REVENUE COLONY, LABBIPETA, VIJAYAWADA, KRISHNA DISTRICT.

...CONTEMNOR(S):

Petition under Sections 10 to 12 of Contempt of Courts Act 1971 praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit file herein the High Court may be pleased to please to punish the Respondents herein, U/S 10 to 12 of the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971 for their will full violation and deliberate disobedience of the orders passed by his Lordships Hon'ble Sri. Justice SUBBA REDDY SATTI in W.P. No. 24852/2024, dt 04.11.2024 and to pass

Counsel for the Petitioner:

1. POODATTU AMARENDER

Counsel for the Contemnor(S):

1. RAMALINGESWARA RAO KOCHARLA KOTA

The Court made the following:

:: ORDER ::

The above contempt case was filed complaining of the violation of the order dated 04.11.2024, passed in W.P.No.24852 of 2024.

2. Heard learned counsel appearing on both sides.
3. The petitioner filed the aforementioned writ petition to declare the action of the respondents in not concluding the seven disciplinary proceedings pending against the petitioner, as illegal and arbitrary.
4. The writ petition was disposed of on 04.11.2024. In para No.5 of the order, this Court observed as follows:

“Learned Assistant Government Pleader for Services on instructions would submit that the respondents will complete inquiry in terms of G.O.Ms.No.679 General Administration (Services-C) Department, dated 01.11.2008 and G.O.Ms.No.91 General Administration (Ser-C) Department, dated 12.09.2022.”

In para No.8 of the order dated 04.11.2024, this Court observed as follows:

“Given the facts and circumstances of the cases, the writ petition is disposed of, at the admission stage with the consent of both learned counsel directing the respondents to conclude the inquiry within three months from the date of receipt of the copy of the order. It is needless to say that the petitioner shall cooperate with the inquiry. Delay, if any, on the part of the petitioner, the respondents can seek an extension of time. If the inquiry is not concluded within three months after receipt of

the order copy, the inquiry proceedings in respect of charge memos shall stand quashed without reference to any further orders. No order as to costs.”

5. The above contempt case was filed on 19.03.2025, and thereafter, the matter was adjourned at the request of learned counsel appearing for respondents. Since this Court opined that the respondents have violated the order dated 04.11.2024, passed in W.P.No.24852 of 2024, deliberately and intentionally, the contempt case was admitted on 05.02.2026 by framing charges.

6. Today, Sri M.M. Nayak, learned 1st respondent/contemnor, appeared before this Court.

7. Sri Ramalingeswara Rao Kocharla Kota, learned counsel appearing for respondents, submitted a Memo No.3073051/TW.Ser./A2/2026, dated 25.02.2026. In para No.6 of the memo, it was noted as follows:

“6. After careful examination of the entire matter in detail and in compliance with the orders dated 04.11.2024 of the Hon’ble High Court of Andhra Pradesh in W.P. No. 24852 of 2024, the Govt., hereby drops further action in the disciplinary proceedings pending against Sri G.S.V.V.S.S.V. Prasad, Superintending Engineer (I/c), TW, Visakhapatnam and ENC (FAC), Tribal Welfare, as mentioned above, subject to the outcome of Writ Appeal No.195 of 2026 filed by the Government before the Hon’ble High Court.”

8. The copy of said memo was handed over to Sri Bhaskar, learned counsel, since Sri Poodattu Amarender, learned counsel for the petitioner, appeared through virtual mode.

9. Thus, as seen from the memo, respondent No.1 has complied with the order dated 04.11.2024, passed in W.P.No.24852 of 2024; however, with some delay. Since the order dated 04.11.2024 has been complied with, respondent No.1 is discharged from the contempt case.

10. Given the facts and circumstances of the case, the Contempt Case is closed. No order as to costs.

As a sequel, pending miscellaneous petitions, if any, shall stand closed.

JUSTICE SUBBA REDDY SATTI

Date: 26.02.2026
TVN

140

THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE SUBBA REDDY SATTI

CONTEMPT CASE No.793 OF 2025

Date: 26.02.2026
TVN