



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH
AT AMARAVATI
(Special Original Jurisdiction)**

[3332]

WEDNESDAY, THE EIGHTEENTH DAY OF MARCH
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY SIX

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE RAVI CHEEMALAPATI

WRIT PETITION NO: 7651/2026

Between:

All India State Government Class Iv Employees Federation ...**PETITIONER(S)**
Working office At Dowlaiswaram and others

AND

The State of Andhra Pradesh and others **...RESPONDENT(S)**

Counsel for the Petitioner(S):

1.L V S NAGARAJU

Counsel for the Respondent(S):

1.GP FOR IRRI AND CAD

The Court made the following:

Notice before admission.

Learned Government Pleader for Irrigation takes notice on behalf of
respondent Nos.1 to 6.

Post on 21.04.2026.

Meantime, counters of respondents, if any.

I.A.No.1 of 2026:

Heard learned counsel for the petitioners.

Learned counsel for the petitioners submitted that this court vide order dated 10.09.2025 in Writ Petition No.6824 of 2025 directed the petitioners to submit explanation duly enclosing the requisite documents in support of their possession over the subject property in pursuance of the notices dated 11.02.2025 and 17.02.2205 within a period of two weeks. Pursuant to the said directions, the petitioners were submitted explanation on 09.10.2025. However, respondent No.5, without considering the said explanation and without giving an opportunity of hearing, issued notices dated 26.02.2026 and 06.03.2026 directing the petitioners to vacate the premises. If the petitioners are dispossessed, they will suffer irreparable loss and hardship. Hence, prayed to protect the interest of the petitioners.

Having considered the submissions made by learned counsel for the petitioners, *prima facie*, a point has been made out for consideration. Hence, in order to meet the ends of justice, this Court is inclined to pass the following interim order:

“There shall be stay of all further proceedings pursuant to the notices issued by respondent No.5 dated 26.02.2026 and 06.03.2026 until further orders.”.

This Court is also inclined to issue notice to respondent No.5 as to why *suo moto* contempt should not be initiated against him for failing to provide an opportunity of hearing, despite a direction issued earlier by this Court in Writ Petition No.6824 of 2025 to afford such an opportunity to the petitioners and file an affidavit in that regard by the next date of hearing.

JUSTICE RAVI CHEEMALAPATI

Date: 18.03.2026
MP

