



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH
AT AMARAVATI
(Special Original Jurisdiction)**

[3506]

FRIDAY, THE TWENTY FIRST DAY OF MARCH
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY FIVE

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE CHALLA GUNARANJAN

WRIT PETITION NO: 7294/2025

Between:

Shaik Kamaluddin,

...PETITIONER

AND

The Guntur Municipal Corporation and
Others

...RESPONDENT(S)

Counsel for the Petitioner:

1. T S N
SUDHAKAR

Counsel for the Respondent(S):

1. GP FOR SERVICES
I
2. A.S.C. BOSE

The Court made the following ORDER:

This writ petition is filed under Article 226 of the
Constitution of India for the following relief:

“to declare the action of the 1st respondent not disposing of
the petitioner’s representation dated 02.12.2024 filed by the

petitioner for promotion to the post of Draftsman/Field Ted Operator Grade - I/Technical Work Inspector (Permanent) as highly illegal, arbitrary, unjust, improper, discrimination and violation of Principles of Natural Justice apart from violation of Article 14, 16 and 21 of the Constitution of India and consequently direct the 1st respondent to consider and dispose of representation dated 02.12.2024 and to pass such other order.”

2. Heard Sri T.S.N.Sudhakar, learned counsel for petitioner, learned Assistant Government Pleader for Services – I for the 2nd respondent and Ms.Haritha S.Ammineni, learned counsel, representing on behalf of Sri A.S.C.Bose, learned standing counsel for 1st respondent.

3. Petitioner was appointed as Gang Mazdoor in the year 2016 on compassionate grounds and has been discharging duties as such till now. He passed diploma in civil engineering in the year 2019. He claims to be eligible and qualified for promotion to the post of Draft Man/Field Ted Operator Grade - I/Technical Work Inspector (Permanent). Persons who are working as Gang Mazdoor promoted as Tracer taking into consideration the seniority and diploma qualification, one such promotion was given to Sri P.Bhanu, by proceedings dated 20.07.2024 claiming parity

on similar lines, petitioner has made representation dated 02.12.2024 with the first respondent and the same is stated to be pending.

4. Learned counsel for petitioner submits that it would suffice to direct the first respondent to consider and dispose his representation for the purpose of considering promotion as Draft Man/Field Ted Operator Grade - I/Technical Work Inspector (Permanent).

5. Learned standing counsel for 1st respondent placed on written instructions dated 23.02.2025 and submits that respondents are willing to dispose of the representation of petitioner in accordance with law, besides submitting that the post which is held by petitioner presently is not feeder post for the purpose of considering promotion as Draft Man/Field Ted Operator Grade - I/Technical Work Inspector (Permanent).

6. In view of the above submissions, without expressing any opinion on merits of the matter, this Court is of the opinion that it would suffice to dispose of the present writ petition directing the 1st respondent to consider and pass appropriate orders on the representation submitted by the petitioner dated 02.12.2024, in

accordance with law, as expeditiously as possible preferably within a period of eight weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order. No costs.

As a sequel, miscellaneous petitions pending consideration, if any, in this case shall stand closed.

CHALLA GUNARANJAN, J

21.03.2025
SS