

Date of reserved for orders: ---

Date of pronouncement : 25.06.2026

Date of uploading : 25.06.2026

APHC010138652025



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH
AT AMARAVATI
(Special Original Jurisdiction)**

[3331]

THURSDAY, THE 25th DAY OF JUNE 2026

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE SUBBA REDDY SATTI

CONTEMPT CASE (S.R) NO: 10186/2025

Between:

1. SRI VENKATESWARA ENGINEERING WORKS, -

...PETITIONER

AND

1. K SRINIVASA MURTHY, -

...CONTEMNOR

Petition under Sections 10 to 12 of Contempt of Courts Act 1971
praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit file herein the
High Court may be pleased to

IA NO: 1 OF 2025

Petition under Section 151 CPC praying that in the circumstances
stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may
be pleased to grant leave to implead the proposed respondent
No.2/contemnor as a party to the contempt case as well as the
miscellaneous applications for disobedience of the HOn'ble Court in
I.A.No.1 of 2025 in W.P.No.2823 of 2025 dated 06-03-2025

Counsel for the Petitioner:

1.M/S.ASTONISH LAWS

Counsel for the Contemnor:

1.

2.SANTHI CHANDRA SC For APIIC

The Court made the following:

ORDER

The above contempt case is filed complaining violation of order dated 06.03.2025 in I.A.No.1 of 2025 in W.P.No.2823 of 2025.

2. Since the Manager (AM), APIIC, who is not party to the writ petition, is shown as proposed respondent in the contempt case, the petitioner filed leave petition and hence, the contempt case is not yet numbered.

3. The 1st respondent filed counter affidavit and would contend that the authorities have not interfered with the petitioner's possession.

4. Sri I.Chandra Sekhar, learned counsel for the petitioner would submit that the 2nd respondent gave a police complaint against the petitioner, wherein it was mentioned that there is no interim order passed in the writ petition, that itself amount to contempt.

5. Smt.Santhi Chandra, learned counsel for the respondents would submit that the petitioner under the guise of interim order, attempted to make construction and in that connection, a police complaint was lodged. However, as of now, neither the petitioner is making constructions nor the respondents are interfering with the petitioners.

6. Sri I.Chandra Sekhar, learned counsel for the petitioner has also asserted that the petitioner is not making any construction and the petitioner will await result in the writ petition.

7. Given the facts and circumstances of the case, this Court is of the opinion that the respondents have not violated the order dated 06.03.2025 in I.A.No.1 of 2025 in W.P.No.2823 of 2025.

8. Accordingly, the C.C.(S.R) No.10186 of 2025 is Closed. No costs.

9. Since the C.C.(S.R) No.10186 of 2025 is closed, I.A.No.1 of 2025 is also closed.

As a sequel, pending miscellaneous petitions, if any, shall stand closed.

JUSTICE SUBBA REDDY SATTI

PVD

Whether the order is :

Speaking Yes/No / Recorded Yes/No

Reportable Yes/No / Non-Reportable Yes/No