

HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH :: AMARAVATI

MAIN CASE No: W.A.Nos.258, 259, 269, 271 & 274 of 2024

PROCEEDING SHEET

<u>SL. NO.</u>	<u>DATE</u>	<u>ORDER</u>	<u>OFFICE NOTE</u>
	21.03.2024	<u>RNT,J & HN,J</u> Heard Sri S.S.Prasad, learned Senior Counsel assisted by Sri Chidambara Sastry, learned counsel for the appellant and Sri Ravi Sankar Jandhyala, learned senior counsel assisted by Sri Syed Ghouse Basha, learned counsel for respondent Nos.1 to 8. 2. W.A.No.258 of 2024 is filed by Andhra Pradesh Public Service Commission (in short APPSC) challenging the common judgement dated 13.03.2024 in W.P.No.15701 of 2022 and batch. 3. Learned Single Judge has allowed the writ petition as also the batch with the following directions: 137. In the result, W.P.No.15701 of 2022 is allowed with the following directions: a. The action of Respondent Nos.1 & 2 in conducting second and third valuation of Group-I (Mains) Examination for Notification No.27/2018 is declared as illegal, irregular, arbitrary and violative of Rule 3(ix) of the A.P.P.S.C Rules; b. The impugned list of eligible	

SL. NO.	<u>DATE</u>	<u>ORDER</u>	<u>OFFICE NOTE</u>
		candidates dated 26.05.2022 is hereby set-aside; c. Respondent Nos.1 & 2 are directed to conduct Group-1 (Mains) Examination afresh for Notification No.27/2018 and value the papers strictly in accordance with the APPSC Rules, giving atleast two months time to the candidates and complete the process and selection within six (06) months from the date of receipt of copy of this order 3. The other writ appeals have also been filed against the same common judgment. 4. Sri J.Sudheer, learned advocate also appears and submits that though he is not the counsel in present appeals, but he has also filed the writ appeal against the same common judgment to certain extent, which is under process in the Registry. 5. Similarly other learned counsels also appearing submit that some more appeals are under process against the same common judgment and so all the writ appeals deserve to be heard all together. 6. Sri Ravi Sankar Jandhyala, learned senior counsel also submits that all such matters be heard in the next week. 7. Learned counsel for the appellant submits that pursuant to the directions	

SL. NO.	<u>DATE</u>	<u>ORDER</u>	<u>OFFICE NOTE</u>
		given in para 137 of the judgment, in particular that the list of eligible candidates dated 26.05.2022 has been set-aside, the eligible candidates of such list who were given appointment and are in service, those may be ousted from the service by the next date of listing of the writ appeals. 7. With the consent, the matter is posted for 27.03.2024. 8. Learned counsel for the respondent Nos.1 to 8 submits that there is no such urgency as no action is being taken and even no application has been filed as on today for contempt. 9. Considering the aforesaid, the apprehension of the learned counsel for the appellant cannot be said to be unfounded in the light of the direction (b) in particular. When the matter is being posted on 27.03.2024 and both the side appear to have grievance against the common judgment, as an interim measure, it is provided that in the interest of justice, the eligible candidates of the list who have already been given appointment and are in service, they shall not be ousted from	

<u>SL. NO.</u>	<u>DATE</u>	<u>ORDER</u>	<u>OFFICE NOTE</u>
		service, till the next date of listing. 10. Learned counsel for the appellant submits that he would not seek any adjournment on the next date. 11. Post on 27.03.2024 along with all the writ appeals against the same common judgment. 12. Learned counsels shall furnish such particulars in the Registry. _____ RNT,J _____ HN,J AG	