

APHC010137882026



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH
AT AMARAVATI
(Special Original Jurisdiction)**

[3328]

WEDNESDAY, THE TWENTY SECOND DAY OF APRIL
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY SIX

PRESENT

**THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE GANNAMANENI RAMAKRISHNA
PRASAD**

WRIT PETITION NO: 7945/2026

Between:

1. P SATYANARAYANA, S/O. PUTTA RAMUDU AGE 59 YEARS OCC
CONTRACTOR R/O. H.NO.30-71 A REVENUE QUARTERS NANDYAL,
NANDYAL DISTRICT.

...PETITIONER

AND

1. THE STATE OF ANDHRA PRADESH, REP. BY ITS PRINCIPAL
SECRETARY, MUNICIPAL ADMINISTRATION DEPARTMENT A.P.
SECRETARIAT BUILDING VELAGAPUDI, AMARAVATHI.-522237
2. THE STATE OF ANDHRA PRADESH, REP. BY ITS PRINCIPAL
SECRETARY, FINANCE DEPARTMENT A.P. SECRETARIAT BUILDING
VELAGAPUDI AMARAVATHI.-522237
3. THE COMMISSIONER AND DIRECTOR OF MUNICIPAL
ADMINISTRATION DEPARTMENT, AT GUNTUR GUNTUR DISTRICT.-
522002
4. THE NANDYAL MUNICIPALITY, REP. BY ITS COMMISSIONER AT
NANDYAL NANDYAL DISTRICT.-518501
5. THE MUNICIPAL COMMISSIONER, O/O NANDYAL MUNICIPALITY
OFFICE AT NANDYAL NANDYAL DISTRICT.-518501
6. THE EXECUTIVE ENGINEER, O/O NANDYAL MUNICIPALITY OFFICE
AT NANDYAL NANDYAL DISTRICT.-518501 .

...RESPONDENT(S):

Counsel for the Petitioner:

1.MARELLA RADHA

Counsel for the Respondent(S):

- 1.GP MUNICIPAL ADMN AND URBAN DEV AP
- 2.GP FOR FINANCE PLANNING

The Court made the following:

ORAL ORDER:

Heard Sri N. Venkatesh, learned Counsel appearing on behalf of Smt. Marella Radha, learned Counsel for the Writ Petitioner, Sri M. Srinu Babu, learned Assistant Government Pleader for Municipal Administration & Urban Development, Sri T. Balakrishna, learned Assistant Government Pleader for Finance & Planning and Sri Gudapati Lakshminarayana, learned Standing Counsel for Nandyal Municipality.

2. Sri Gudapati Lakshminarayana, learned Standing Counsel for Nandyal Municipality, has submitted the Written Instructions furnished by the Commissioner, Nandyal Municipality dated 22.04.2026. Copy of it is supplied to the Counsel for the Writ Petitioner and the same is taken on record.

3. The first paragraph of the Written Instructions would indicate that the Respondents have admitted not only the execution of the work but completion as well and the same has been recorded in the M-Book. The Written Instructions would also indicate that the net amount of Rs.23,65,784/- is due to be paid to the Writ Petitioner. Para 5 of the Written Instructions would indicate that the net amount will be paid whenever the financial condition of the Respondent is improved. (Please see (1) ***Surya Constructions vs. State of Uttar Pradesh and Others*** : (2019) 16 SCC 794 - Para Nos.2, 3 & 4, (2) ***Mr. S.C. Meena, EE (Project Narela) vs. Amit Tanwar*** : 2018 SCC OnLine Del 8035 - Para No.48, (3) ***Kashmir Wood Products vs Verinag Development Authority and Others*** : 2021 SCC OnLine J&K 814 - Para No.8, (4) ***Kattam Reddy Venkateswarlu Reddy Vs. State of Andhra Pradesh, Rep. by its Principal Secretary, Municipalities and Urban***

Development Department and three others : Order dated 23.01.2026 in W.P.No.34418 of 2025 of High Court of Andhra Pradesh).

4. Having regard to the above facts, this Court deems it appropriate to allow this Writ Petition, with a direction to all the Respondents, including Respondent Nos.2 to 5, to complete the entire administrative process, both inter-departmental and intra-departmental, by way of mutual cooperation and coordination, within a period of sixteen (16) weeks from today and transfer the due amount to the bank account of the Writ Petitioner within four (4) weeks thereafter.

5. Writ Petitioner is directed to submit the bank account details to the Competent Authority within four (4) weeks from today for effective compliance.

6. With these observations and directions, this Writ Petition stands allowed. No order as to costs.

7. Interlocutory Applications, if any, stand closed in terms of this order.

GANNAMANENI RAMAKRISHNA PRASAD, J

Dt:22.04.2026

Vns