

IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH :: AMARAVATHI
(Special Original Jurisdiction)

TUESDAY, THE EIGHTEENTH DAY OF MARCH
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY FIVE



PRESENT

HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE VENKATESWARLU NIMMAGADDA

WRIT PETITION NO: 6906 OF 2025

Between:

K.Satish Kumar Reddy, W/o. K.Rama Chandra Reddy, Aged about 46 years,
Occ- Business, Resident of Raghavendra Nagar, Piler Town And Mandal,
Annamayya District.

...PETITIONER

AND

1. The State of Andhra Pradesh, Rep. by its Principal Secretary,
Department of Revenue, Secretariat Buildings, Amaravathi.
2. The District Collector, Annamayya District at Rayachoty.
3. The Revenue Divisional Officer, Rayachoty, Annamayya District.
4. The Tahsildar, Piler Mandal, Annamayya District.
5. Smt. K.S.Mallika, W/o Late K.S.Masthan, Aged about 66 years, Occ:
House wife, Resident of D.No.8-136, Gandhi Road, Piler, Annamayya
District.

...RESPONDENTS

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed therewith, the High Court may be pleased to issue a Writ, or direction more particularly one in the nature of Writ of Mandamus declaring the action of the 4th respondent in interfering with the peaceful possession and enjoyment of the property admeasuring an extent of Ac. 1.00 cents in Sy.No. 1955/2A, situated in Doddipalli village, Piler Mandal, Annamayya District which is subject matter

of Registered Sale Deed vide Document No.913 of 2023, dated 25-02-2023 on the file of Joint Sub Registrar, Piler, Annamayya District without following due process of law is illegal, arbitrary and unconstitutional and consequently direct the 4th respondent not to interfere with the peaceful possession and enjoyment of the above said property.

IA NO: 1 OF 2025

Petition under Section 151 CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased to direct the 4th respondent not to interfere with the peaceful possession and enjoyment of the property admeasuring an extent of Ac. 1.00 cents in Sy.No. 1955/2A, situated in Doddipalli village, Piler Mandal, Annamayya District, pending disposal of the Writ petition before this Honourable court.

Counsel for the Petitioner: SRI VUTUPALLI RAJANNA

Counsel for the Respondent Nos. 1 to 4: GP FOR REVENUE

Counsel for the Respondent No.5: ----

The Court made the following: ORDER



IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH
AT AMARAVATI
(Special Original Jurisdiction)

[3329]

TUESDAY ,THE EIGHTEENTH DAY OF MARCH
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY FIVE

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE VENKATESWARLU NIMMAGADDA

WRIT PETITION NO: 6906/2025

Between:

K.satish Kumar Reddy

...PETITIONER

AND

The State Of Andhra Pradesh and Others

...RESPONDENT(S)

Counsel for the Petitioner:

1.VUTUPALLI RAJANNA

Counsel for the Respondent(S):

1.GP FOR REVENUE

The Court made the following:

THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE VENKATESWARLU NIMMAGADDA

WRIT PETITION No.6906 OF 2025

ORDER:-

This writ petition is filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of the India declaring the action of respondent No.4 in interfering with the peaceful possession and enjoyment of the property admeasuring an extent of Ac.1.00 cents situated in Sy.No.1955/2A, in Doddipalli village, Piler Mandal, Annamayya District without following due process of law as illegal and arbitrary

2. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned Government Pleader for the respondents.

3. During hearing, learned counsel for the petitioner, without touching the merits of the case, requested this Court to issue a direction to the respondents not to interfere with the peaceful possession and enjoyment of the subject property of the petitioner without following due process of law.

4. Learned Government Pleader for Revenue submits that, if the petitioner is in possession of the subject property, the respondents would follow due process of law and requested to pass appropriate orders.

5. When the petitioner is in settled possession and enjoyment of the property, he cannot be dispossessed, without following due process of law in view of the law declared by the Apex Court in "**Rame Gowda (dead) by L.Rs. v. M.Varadappa Naidu (Dead) by L.Rs.**"¹. Therefore, if the petitioner is in

¹ 2004 (1) SCC 769

possession of the subject property, the respondents are directed not to interfere with the peaceful possession and enjoyment of the subject property of the petitioner, except by due process of law.

6. With the above direction, the writ petition is disposed of at the stage of admission with the consent of both the counsel. No costs.

Consequently, miscellaneous petitions pending, if any, shall also stand closed.

//TRUE COPY//

Sd/- U. SRIDEVI
ASSISTANT REGISTRAR



SECTION OFFICER

To,

1. The Principal Secretary, Department of Revenue, Secretariat Buildings, Velagapudi, Amaravathi.
2. The District Collector, Annamayya District at Rayachoty.
3. The Revenue Divisional Officer, Rayachoty, Annamayya District.
4. The Tahsildar, Piler Mandal, Annamayya District.
5. One CC to Sri Vutupalli Rajanna Advocate [OPUC]
6. Two CCs to GP for Revenue, High Court of Andhra Pradesh. [OUT]
7. Three CD Copies

TF

HIGH COURT

DATED:18/03/2025

ORDER

WP.No.6906 of 2025



**DISPOSING OF THE W.P. AT THE STAGE OF
ADMISSION, WITHOUT COSTS**