



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH
AT AMARAVATI
(Special Original Jurisdiction)**

[3329]

**TUESDAY ,THE EIGHTEENTH DAY OF MARCH
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY FIVE**

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE VENKATESWARLU NIMMAGADDA

WRIT PETITION NO: 6906/2025

Between:

K.satish Kumar Reddy

...PETITIONER

AND

The State Of Andhra Pradesh and Others

...RESPONDENT(S)

Counsel for the Petitioner:

1.VUTUPALLI RAJANNA

Counsel for the Respondent(S):

1.GP FOR REVENUE

The Court made the following:

THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE VENKATESWARLU NIMMAGADDA

WRIT PETITION No.6906 OF 2025

ORDER:-

This writ petition is filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of the India declaring the action of respondent No.4 in interfering with the peaceful possession and enjoyment of the property admeasuring an extent of Ac.1.00 cents situated in Sy.No.1955/2A, in Doddipalli village, Piler Mandal, Annamayya District without following due process of law as illegal and arbitrary

2. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned Government Pleader for the respondents.

3. During hearing, learned counsel for the petitioner, without touching the merits of the case, requested this Court to issue a direction to the respondents not to interfere with the peaceful possession and enjoyment of the subject property of the petitioner without following due process of law.

4. Learned Government Pleader for Revenue submits that, if the petitioner is in possession of the subject property, the respondents would follow due process of law and requested to pass appropriate orders.

5. When the petitioner is in settled possession and enjoyment of the property, he cannot be dispossessed, without following due process of law in view of the law declared by the Apex Court in “**Rame Gowda (dead) by L.Rs. v. M.Varadappa Naidu (Dead) by L.Rs.**”¹. Therefore, if the petitioner is in

¹ 2004 (1) SCC 769

possession of the subject property, the respondents are directed not to interfere with the peaceful possession and enjoyment of the subject property of the petitioner, except by due process of law.

6. With the above direction, the writ petition is disposed of at the stage of admission with the consent of both the counsel. No costs.

Consequently, miscellaneous petitions pending, if any, shall also stand closed.

JUSTICE VENKATESWARLU NIMMAGADDA

Date:18.03.2025

BSP

437

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE VENKATESWARLU NIMMAGADDA

WRIT PETITION NO: 6906/2025

Date:18.03.2025

BSP