



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH
AT AMARAVATI
(Special Original Jurisdiction)**

[0]

THURSDAY ,THE TWENTIETH DAY OF MARCH
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY FIVE

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE NYAPATHY VIJAY

WRIT PETITION NO: 6857/2025

Between:

Sridhar Reddy Gontu

...PETITIONER

AND

The State Of Andhra Pradesh and Others

...RESPONDENT(S)

Counsel for the Petitioner:

1.ANUSHA DONTI REDDY

Counsel for the Respondent(S):

1.GP MUNICIPAL ADMN AND URBAN DEV AP

The Court made the following:

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE NYAPATHY VIJAY

WRIT PETITION NO: 6857 OF 2025

ORDER:

This Writ Petition is filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, seeking the following relief:

“.....to issue a Writ, Order or direction more particularly one in the nature of Writ of mandamus declaring the action of the Respondents herein particularly Respondent No.2 in interfering with the Petitioners peaceful possession and enjoyment of the property situated at D.No. 6-19-108, Srinivasa Building, Student Book Centre, 2nd lane, Arundalpet Main Road, Guntur, Guntur District (Shop No.64 given by Municipality) and giving markings without having any right or authority highhandedly without paying any compensation and further insisting the petitioner to take TDR certificates and subjecting the Petitioner to distress as nothing but illegal, erroneous, highhanded and violative of Article 14 19(i)(g) 21 and 300-A of the Constitution of India and consequently direct the Respondents much less the Respondent No.2 not to meddle with the Petitioners building situated at D.No.6-19-108, Srinivasa Building, Student Book Centre, 2nd lane Arundalpet Main Road, Guntur, Guntur District (Shop No.64 given by Municipality) without following the procedure contemplated under The Right to Fair Compensation and Transparency in Land Acquisition Rehabilitation and Resettlement Act- 2013 and pass such other orders....”

2. Heard Smt. Anusha Dontireddy, learned counsel for the petitioner and learned Government Pleader for Municipal Administration and Urban Development Authority for the respondents.

3. During hearing learned counsel for the petitioner would contend that this matter is squarely covered by this Court Order dated 28.01.2025 in

W.P.No.2023 of 2025 and requested to pass similar order in this writ petition also. Learned Standing Counsel for the respondents conceded the same.

4. Considering the submissions of learned counsel, this Writ Petition is disposed of in terms of the order dated 28.01.2025 passed in W.P.No.2023 of 2025. There shall be no order as to costs.

5. As a sequel, miscellaneous applications pending, if any, shall also stand closed.

6. The Registry is directed to annex a copy of the order in W.P.No.2023 of 2025, dated 28.01.2025 along with this order.

NYAPATHY VIJAY, J

Date: 20.03.2025

KK