



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH
AT AMARAVATI
(Special Original Jurisdiction)**

[3331]

WEDNESDAY, THE FIFTEENTH DAY OF APRIL
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY SIX

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE SUBBA REDDY SATTI

WRIT PETITION NO: 7272/2026

Between:

Ganjala Sivaji,

...PETITIONER

AND

The State Of Andhra Pradesh and Others

...RESPONDENT(S)

Counsel for the Petitioner:

1.SAI GANGADHAR CHAMARTY

Counsel for the Respondent(S):

1.GP FOR PANCHAYAT RAJ RURAL DEV

The Court made the following:

This Court ordered notice to 6th respondent and even permitted the learned counsel for the petitioner to take out personal notice to the 6th respondent.

2. Proof of service is filed *vide* USR No.38299 of 2026 dated 31.03.2026. However, despite service of notice, none appeared on behalf of the 6th respondent.

3. Sri Chaitanya, learned counsel appearing on behalf of 5th respondent-Gram Panchayat on instructions, would submit the 6th respondent is proceeding with the construction, without getting permission from the 5th respondent.

4. Section 121 of the Andhra Pradesh Panchayat Raj Act, 1994, mandates that no piece of land shall be used as a site for the construction of a building and no building shall be constructed or reconstructed etc., without the permission of the Gram Panchayat.
5. Given the facts and circumstances of the case, the 5th respondent shall ensure that the 6th respondent shall not make any construction by encroaching upon the road opposite to Ramalayam, Musanayakunipalem, Hamlet of Krishnapuram village, Pamidimukkala Mandal, Krishna District, without getting permission from the 5th respondent.
6. The 6th respondent shall not make any construction by encroaching upon the road opposite to Ramalayam, Musanayakunipalem, Hamlet of Krishnapuram village, Pamidimukkala Mandal, Krishna District, without getting permission from the 5th respondent.
7. Learned standing counsel for the 5th respondent shall get latest instructions.
8. List the matter on 04.05.2026.

PVD

SUBBA REDDY SATTI, J