



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH
AT AMARAVATI
(Special Original Jurisdiction)**

[3331]

MONDAY, THE SIXTH DAY OF APRIL
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY SIX

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE SUBBA REDDY SATTI

WRIT PETITION NO: 7272/2026

Between:

Ganjala Sivaji,

...PETITIONER

AND

The State Of Andhra Pradesh and Others

...RESPONDENT(S)

Counsel for the Petitioner:

1. SAI GANGADHAR CHAMARTY

Counsel for the Respondent(S):

1. GP FOR PANCHAYAT RAJ RURAL DEV

The Court made the following:

Despite service of notice on the 6th respondent, none appeared on behalf of the 6th respondent.

Sri Chaitanya, learned counsel representing Sri Y.Koteswara Rao, learned Standing counsel for the 5th respondent, would submit that a notice was issued to the 6th respondent and that the 6th respondent has stopped the construction.

Learned counsel for the petitioner would submit that the construction has not been stopped.

List the matter on 15.04.2026.

Learned counsel for the petitioner shall file the latest photographs, depicting date and time, showing the construction undertaken by the 6th respondent.

Sri Chaitanya, learned counsel representing Sri Y.Koteswara Rao, learned Standing counsel for the 5th respondent, shall also file the photographs along with a copy of the notice issued to the 6th respondent.

SUBBA REDDY SATTI, J
SNI