



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH
AT AMARAVATI
(Special Original Jurisdiction)**

[3331]

THURSDAY ,THE THIRTEENTH DAY OF MARCH
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY FIVE

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE SUBBA REDDY SATTI

WRIT PETITION NO: 6688/2025

Between:

Lanco Kondapalli Power Limited

...PETITIONER

AND

Union Of India and Others

...RESPONDENT(S)

Counsel for the Petitioner:

1.D S SIVADARSHAN

Counsel for the Respondent(S):

1.GP FOR GENERAL ADMINISTRATION

2.

The Court made the following:

Notice before admission.

Sri O. Uday Kmar, learned counsel takes notice for respondent No.1 and offers to file vakalat.

Sri Pamda Rao Lakkaraju, learned standing counsel for NHAI, offers to file vakalat for respondents 2 and 3 and seeks time to file counter.

Learned Advocate General takes notice for respondents 4 and 5.

Learned counsel for the petitioner is permitted to take out personal notice to respondent No.6 through RPAD and file proof of service.

The above writ petition is filed impugning the notice issued by respondent No.3 vide reference No.11088/C-G(VBP)/PIU-VIJ/NHA/2025/2001 dated 11.03.2025 (Ex.P1), requesting the petitioner to shut down 400KV EHT line pertaining to M/s. Lanco Kondapalli Power Limited, for a period of 25 days from 17.03.2025 to 11.04.2025, to facilitate the construction of VUP @ Ch. 23+122 – Reg.

Heard.

Sri Avinash Desai, learned Senior Counsel assisted by Sri D. Satya Siva Darshan, learned counsel for the petitioner would submit that in pursuance of Ex.P1, the petitioner immediately submitted reply (Ex.P16) expressing its inability to take any shut down, during the period, mentioned in the reply. However, respondents 2 and 3, through various authorities are pressurizing the petitioner, in this regard.

Learned standing counsel for respondents 2 and 3, on instructions, would submit that Ex.P1 is only a request and the authorities are not taking any coercive steps.

Smt. S. Pranathi, learned Special Government Pleader, attached to the office of learned Advocate General, seeks time to file counter.

Given the facts and circumstances of the case, respondents 2 to 6 shall not take any coercive steps in pursuance of Ex.P1, for a period of three weeks. However, this order will not preclude the respondents 2 and 3 from negotiating with the petitioner regarding the cause mentioned in Ex.P1.

Post on 27.03.2025.

SUBBA REDDY SATTI,J

ikn