



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH
AT AMARAVATI
(Special Original Jurisdiction)**

[3329]

**TUESDAY ,THE EIGHTEENTH DAY OF MARCH
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY FIVE**

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE VENKATESWARLU NIMMAGADDA

WRIT PETITION NO: 6813/2025

Between:

BottaSatyanarayana and Others

...PETITIONER(S)

AND

The State Of Ap and Others

...RESPONDENT(S)

Counsel for the Petitioner(S):

1.PETLURI NAGA RAJU

Counsel for the Respondent(S):

1.GP FOR REVENUE

The Court made the following:

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE VENKATESWARLU NIMMAGADDA

WRIT PETITION NO: 6813/2025

ORDER:

The present writ petition is filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India seeking following relief:

“ to issue a writ, order or direction more particularly one in the nature of WRIT OF MANDAMUS declaring the action of respondents more particularly 3rd respondent in not deciding petitioners Revision petition and stay petition dated 16.12.2024 filed by the petitioners’ under section 9 of Andhra Pradesh Rights in Land and Pattadar Passbooks Act, 1971 questioning order dated 21.09.2024 in Appeal No.Lands-1/e469565/2023 passed by 4threspondent within the statutory framework of the time as illegal, irregular, irrational and violative of provisions of Andhra Pradesh Rights in Land and Pattadar Passbook Act, 1971 and offends Articles 14, 21 and 300-A of constitution of India and consequently direct the 3rd respondent to decide said revision petition as expeditiously as possible in accordance with law by complying with the rules of audi-alterm-patrem and pass such other order or orders....”

2. Heard learned counsel for the petitioners and learned Government Pleader for Revenue for the respondents.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that it will be suffice if a direction is given to respondent authorities, more particularly, 3rd respondent herein to consider and dispose of the Revision petition dated 16.12.2024 filed

by the petitioners aggrieved by the orders dated 21.09.2024 passed by 4th respondent in Appeal No.Lands-1/e469565/2023.

4. Learned Government Pleader appearing for the respondents would submit that the revision dated 16.12.2024 filed by the petitioners would be considered by the respondent authorities, in accordance with law, within a reasonable time.

5. In view of the submission made by the learned Government Pleader for Revenue, this Court need not decide the truth or otherwise of the allegations made in the petition. This Court is conscious that no such direction be issued, in view of the judgment of the Apex Court in ***“The Government of India v. P.Venkatesh¹”***, wherein the Apex Court held that such orders may make for a quick or easy disposal of cases in overburdened adjudicatory institutions. But, they do not serve to the cause of justice. As the learned counsel for the petitioners himself requested to issue a direction to dispose of the revision dated 16.12.2024, this Court find no other alternative except to issue such direction.

6. Accordingly, with the consent of both the learned counsel, the present Writ Petition is disposed of, with a direction to the respondent authorities, more particularly, 3rd respondent herein to consider and dispose of the Revision dated 16.12.2024 filed by the petitioners, in accordance with law, after providing opportunity of hearing to both the parties, within a period of

¹ 2019 (8) SCALE 544

three (03) months from the date of receipt of a copy of this order. There shall be no order as to costs.

Consequently, Miscellaneous Petitions, if any, pending in the writ petition shall stand closed.

VENKATESWARLU NIMMAGADDA, J

18.03.2025

BSP

164

THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE VENKATESWARLU NIMMAGADDA

WRIT PETITION No.6813 of 2025

18.03.2025

BSP