

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH :: AMARAVATI
(Special Original Jurisdiction)**

**FRIDAY, THE TWENTY FIRST DAY OF MARCH
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY FIVE**

PRESENT



THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE GANNAMANENI RAMAKRISHNA PRASAD

WRIT PETITION NO: 6484 OF 2025

Between:

Manikonda Ramakrishna, S/o.Venkateswarlu, Aged 35 years, Occ: Upa-Sarpanch, R/o. Turpuvenkatapuram Village, Darsi Mandal, Prakasam District.

...PETITIONER

AND

1. State of Andhra Pradesh, Rep. by Principal Secretary to government, Panchayat Raj and Rural Development Department, Secretariat, Velagapudi, Amaravati, Guntur District.
2. District Collector, Prakasam District at Ongole.
3. The District Panchayat Officer, Prakasam District at Ongole.
4. The Divisional Panchayat Officer, Kanigiri Division, Kanigiri, Prakasam District.
5. Mandal Parishad Development Officer, Darsi Mandal, Prakasam District.
6. Extension Officer, Panchayat Raj and Rural Development, Darsi, Prakasam District.
7. The East Venkatapuram Gram Panchayat, Rep by its Secretary, East Venkatapuram Village, Darsi Mandal, Prakasam District.
8. Areti Sri Lakshmi, W/o Srinu, Aged about 38 years, Occ Sarpanch, R/o East Venkatapuram Village, Darsi mandal, Prakasam District.

...RESPONDENTS

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed therewith, the High Court may be pleased to issue a writ, order or direction more particularly one in the

nature of WRIT OF MANDAMUS declaring the action of respondents No.2 to 6 in not taking action against the 8th respondent for misappropriation of the Gram Panchayat funds despite the direction of the 3rd respondent to 6th respondent vide R.O.C.No.773/2024(Pan)A4 dated 04.11.2024 for conducting enquiry and submit report as illegal, irregular, irrational and offends the law laid down under Andhra Pradesh panchayat Raj Act, 1994 and consequently direct the respondents 2 to 6 to conduct enquiry against the respondents 7 and 8 and to take action against them for their wrongful act of misappropriation of Gram Panchayat funds.

IA NO: 1 OF 2025

Petition under Section 151 CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the writ petition, the High Court may be pleased to direct the respondents 2 to 6 to consider the petitioner's complaint dated 21.10.2024 and 25.10.2024 and take necessary action against the respondent 7 and 8 for their wrongful act of misappropriation of Gram Panchayat funds, pending disposal of the main Writ Petition.

**Counsel for the Petitioner : SRI SARANU PHANI TEJA FOR
SMT. PANGULURU RACHANA**

**Counsel for the Respondent Nos.1 to 4 : GP FOR PANCHAYAT RAJ &
RURAL DEVELOPMET**

**Counsel for the Respondent Nos.5 to 7 : SRI M. SUDHIR,
SC FOR GRAM PANCHAYAT**

Counsel for the Respondent No.8 : NONE APPEARED

The Court made the following: ORDER

APHC010128822025



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH
AT AMARAVATI
(Special Original Jurisdiction)**

[3328]

FRIDAY, THE TWENTY FIRST DAY OF MARCH
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY FIVE

PRESENT

**THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE GANNAMANENI RAMAKRISHNA
PRASAD**

WRIT PETITION NO: 6484/2025

Between:

1.MANIKONDA RAMAKRISHNA, S/O.VENKATESWARLU, AGED 35
YEARS, OCC UPA-SARPANCH, R/O TURPUVENKATAPURAM
VILLAGE, DARSIMANDAL, PRAKASAM DISTRICT.

...PETITIONER

AND

1.STATE OF ANDHRA PRADESH, REP. BY PRINCIPAL SECRETARY
TO GOVERNMENT, PANCHAYAT RAJ AND RURAL DEVELOPMENT
DEPARTMENT, SECRETARIAT, VELAGAPUDI, AMARAVATI,
GUNTUR DISTRICT.

2.DISTRICT COLLECTOR, PRAKASAM DISTRICT AT ONGOLE.

3.THE DISTRICT PANCHAYAT OFFICER, PRAKASAM DISTRICT AT
ONGOLE.

4.THE DIVISIONAL PANCHAYAT OFFICER, KANIGIRI DIVISION,
KANIGIRI, PRAKASAM DISTRICT.

5.MANDAL PARISHAD DEVELOPMENT OFFICER, DARSIMANDAL,
PRAKASAM DISTRICT.

6.EXTENSION OFFICER, PANCHAYAT RAJ AND RURAL
DEVELOPMENT, DARSIMANDAL, PRAKASAM DISTRICT.

7.THE EAST VENKATAPURAM GRAM PANCHAYAT, REP BY ITS
SECRETARY, EAST VENKATAPURAM VILLAGE, DARSIMANDAL,

PRAKASAM DISTRICT.

8. ARETI SRI LAKSHMI, W/O SRINU, AGED ABOUT 38 YEARS, OCC SARPANCH, R/O EAST VENKATAPURAM VILLAGE, DARSI MANDAL, PRAKASAM DISTRICT.

...RESPONDENT(S):

Counsel for the Petitioner:

1. PANGULURU RACHANA

Counsel for the Respondent(S):

1. GP FOR PANCHAYAT RAJ RURAL DEV

The Court made the following:

ORAL ORDER:

Sri Saranu Phani Teja, learned Counsel representing Smt. Panguluru Rachana, learned Counsel for the Writ Petitioner, on instructions, seeks to withdraw the present Writ Petition.

2. Permission granted.
3. Accordingly, this Writ Petition is dismissed as withdrawn. No order as to costs.
4. Interlocutory Applications, if any, stand closed in terms of this order.

//TRUE COPY//

Sd/- U.SRI DEVI
ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

AB
SECTION OFFICER

To,

1. One CC to Sri Panguluru Rachana, Advocate [OPUC]
2. Two CCs to GP for Panchayat Raj & Rural Development, High Court of Andhra Pradesh. [OUT]
3. One CC to Sri M.Sudhir, SC for Gram Panchayat (OPUC)
4. Three C.D. Copies.

Cr

HIGH COURT

DATED:21/03/2025

ORDER

WP.No.6484 of 2025



**DISMISSING THE W.P. AS WITHDRAWN
WITHOUT COSTS**