



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH
AT AMARAVATI
(Special Original Jurisdiction)**

[3331]

THURSDAY, THE THIRTEENTH DAY OF MARCH
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY FIVE

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE SUBBA REDDY SATTI

WRIT PETITION NO: 6506/2025

Between:

Maddali Suneeta and Others

...PETITIONER(S)

AND

Insurance Regulatory And Development Authority Of
India and Others

...RESPONDENT(S)

Counsel for the Petitioner(S):

1.Dr.ANANTH S

Counsel for the Respondent(S):

1.

The Court made the following ORDER:

Rule Nisi. Call for records.

Sri M.V. Suresh, learned Standing Counsel takes notice on behalf of respondent No.1, offers to file Vakalat and seeks time to get instructions.

Smt. Divya Datla, learned Standing Counsel takes notice on behalf of respondent No.6 and offers to file Memo of Appearance.

Learned counsel for the petitioner is permitted to take out personal notice to respondents 2 to 5 by registered post with acknowledgment due and file proof of service in the Registry.

Notice returnable in four (04) weeks.

SUBBA REDDY SATTI, J

I.A.No.1 of 2025:-

The petitioners, Class 1 legal heirs of late Sri Ramesh, filed the above writ petition, to direct the respondent No.3, not to process the claims of policies bearing Nos.1507034219P115366206 & 1507034219P108456392.

Heard learned counsel appearing on either side.

The petitioners filed S.O.P.No.28 of 2021 before the learned Principal Senior Civil Judge, Vijayawada, for issuance of succession certificate under Section 372 of Indian Succession Act. The jurisdictional civil court, granted succession certificate by order dated 12.04.2024, in respect of aforementioned policies.

Learned counsel for the petitioners would submit that the petitioners submitted the order in S.O.P.No.28 of 2021 to respondents 2 & 3. In turn, the respondents 2 & 3, directed the petitioners to get No Objection Certificate from respondents 4 & 5, since their names were mentioned as nominees. Learned counsel would also submit that respondent No.3 is processing the policies, without considering the order in S.O.P.No.28 of 2021.

As seen from the order in S.O.P.No.28 of 2021, the jurisdictional civil court granted succession certificate in favour of the petitioners in respect of the aforementioned policies.

In **Shreya Vidyarthi v. Ashok Vidyarthi and others**¹, the Hon'ble Apex Court observed that mere nomination does not confer any beneficial interest in the amount payable under the policy. The nomination merely indicates the person authorized to receive the amount. However, the amount can be claimed by the heirs as per the law of succession governing them.

¹ (2015) 16 SCC 46

Given the facts and circumstances of the case, there shall be an interim direction to respondent No.3 not to process the policies bearing Nos.1507034219P115366206 & 1507034219P108456392, pending further orders.

Dated: 13.03.2025
TVN

SUBBA REDDY SATTI, J