

HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH :: AMARAVATI

MAIN CASE: W.P. No. 7130 of 2026

PROCEEDING SHEET

Sl. No	DATE	ORDER	OFFICE NOTE
	01.05.2026	<u>MRK, J</u> The submission of the learned counsel for the petitioner, in a nutshell, is that the APCPDCL authorities have highhandedly visited the subject land of the petitioner and started measuring the land for the construction of the electric pole without any intimation. Hence, the writ petition is filed. 2. Learned Standing Counsel for the respondent Corporation submits that the petitioner is not in occupation of the subject land and, in fact, from the year 1996 onwards, the subject land is in possession and enjoyment of the concerned, then APCPDCL authorities. 3. He also submits that presently, the subject property is under the possession and enjoyment of the APCPDCL authorities, wherein the 33 KV substation also existing and also other incidental infrastructure spread over at the subject premises. 4. Learned Standing Counsel also submits that the petitioner suppressed the material facts; as such, the very case of the petitioner comes under the purview of	

		<i>“suppressio falsi expressio veri ”</i> i.e., suppression of truth and the writ petition is not maintainable. 5. In view of the rival submissions made by the respective counsel in the interest of justice, this Court deems it appropriate to direct the 4th respondent to personally inspect the subject site with the assistance of concerned revenue survey officials by using sophisticated methodology in the presence of all the respective parties and submit comprehensive report by next date of listing. 6. Learned counsel for the petitioner undertakes to present and assist the 4th respondent. 7. List on 17.06.2026. 8. This Court has come across several writ petitions where the electricity DISCOMs are constructing electricity infrastructure buildings, etc., in the lands classified as water bodies, which leads to multifarious problems not only to the respondent Corporation, but also to the public at large, and also greatly affects the public exchequer. Further, it may lead to disastrous consequences too. 9. Hence, in view of the aforesaid view, the 2nd respondent is directed to file all the relevant details as to how many electricity infrastructural buildings, etc., exist in the lands classified as water bodies, water buffer zones, etc., and also submit what are the Standard Operation Procedures (SOP) are being followed by all the DISCOMS before raising electricity infrastructural buildings, i.e.,	
--	--	---	--

		electrical substations, etc., i.e., pre-requisite permissions from the civic authorities across the State of Andhra Pradesh maintained by all the DISCOMS. GVK MRK, J	
--	--	---	--