

HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH AT AMARAVATHI

MAIN CASE : W.P.Nos.10467 & 6607 of 2024

PROCEEDING SHEET

SI No.	Date	ORDER	OFFICE NOTE
1.	06.05.2024	<u>SRS,J</u> Heard Smt.Nimmagadda Revathi, learned counsel for the petitioners in W.P.No.10467 of 2024 and Sri V.S.K.Rama Rao, learned counsel for the petitioner in W.P.No.6607 of 2024. W.P.No.6607 of 2024 was filed to declare the action of the respondents 2 to 4 in interfering with the possession of the petitioner in respect of her property of an extent of Ac.2-06 cents out of Ac.10.12 cents in Sy.No.511 of Pelleru Vilalge, Chejarla Mandal, SPSR Nellore District at the instance of respondents 5 and 6, as illegal and arbitrary. On 15.03.2024, learned Single Judge of this Court granted order of <i>status-quo</i>. Pursuant to the <i>status-quo</i> order, the Tahsildar – 4th respondent directed to display a sign-board indicating order status quo and directed the parties not do any agricultural operations. Grievance of the petitioners in W.P.No.10467 of 2024 is that by keeping the sign board, the Tahsildar, is not allowing the petitioners to harvest paddy crop in their agricultural lands. Survey sketch and survey report are filed as material papers in W.P.No. 10467 of 2024.	

A perusal of the survey report filed as Ex.P-9 in W.P.No.10467 of 2024 is clear as to the sub-division and location of the fields. The order of *status-quo* granted in respect of Ac.2-06 cents, is clearly identifiable.

Learned counsel for the petitioners would submit that the petitioners are not interfering with the possession of petitioner in W.P.No. 6607 of 2024. In fact, the survey report and sketch could have been filed by the petitioner in W.P.No. 6607 of 2024 for better appreciation.

In fact, the survey report dated 28.10.2022 makes it clear as to the possession of the respective parties and extent. Thus, there is no dispute to identify the property on ground, keeping the sign-board and directing the parties not to harvest the crop, in the opinion of this Court, the authorities misunderstood the order.

In view of the facts and circumstances, the 4th respondent-Tahsildar shall allow the petitioners in W.P.No. 10467 of 2024 to harvest paddy crop of from an extent of Ac.8-00 cents, as indicated in the sketch filed as Ex.P-7; enjoyment sketch Ex.P-8 and survey report Ex.P-9. The order of status quo granted in W.P.No. 6607 of 2024 shall not come in the way of petitioners in harvesting the paddy crop in their extent of land.

List the matter after Summer Vacation-2024.

SRS, J
KA

--	--	--	--