



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH
AT AMARAVATI
(Special Original Jurisdiction)**

[3459]

**MONDAY ,THE TENTH DAY OF MARCH
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY FIVE**

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE SMT JUSTICE SUMATHI JAGADAM

IA 1 OF 2025

IN

WRIT PETITION NO: 6121/2025

Between:

Kota Narasimha Rao

...PETITIONER

AND

The State Of Ap and Others

...RESPONDENT(S)

Counsel for the Petitioner:

1.M SOLOMON RAJU

Counsel for the Respondent(S):

1.GP FOR CIVIL SUPPLIES

The Court made the following:

ORDER:

Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned Assistant Government Pleader for Civil Supplies appearing for the respondents.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is the Proprietor of M/s. Sri Lakshmi Venkateswara Rice Working Company,

Pedavadlapudi, Mangalagiri. He has purchased 702 quintals of rice from M/s. Laxmi Sahasra Rice Industries, Mukundapuram (V), Nereducherla (M), Telangana State, under two Invoice Nos.2024-25/50 and 2024-25/51, dated 01.02.2025. While so, the 3rd respondent conducted inspection in his rice mill on 04.02.2025 and seized the rice on suspicion that the rice is of PDS rice, without inspecting the register and books. Then, the petitioner has made a representation to the 2nd respondent on 10.02.2025 seeking release of the seized stock of rice. As the representation was not considered, the petitioner has filed W.P.No.4385 of 2025 before this Court, and by an order dated 24.02.2025, this Court disposed of the said writ petition directing the 2nd respondent herein to pass orders on the representation of the petitioner within one week from the date of receipt of a copy of the order. After furnishing copy of the order passed in the writ petition on 05.03.2025, the 2nd respondent has issued 6-B proceedings on 13.02.2025 directing the Tahsildar, Mangalagiri, to sell the seized stock by conducting public auction.

Learned counsel for the petitioner further submits that without passing orders on the representation of the petitioner as per the directions of this Court in W.P.No.4385 of 2025, the 2nd respondent cannot pass the impugned proceedings. He further submits that the petitioner has invested huge amount for purchase of the rice, and if the auction is conducted and the seized stock is sold, the petitioner will be put to irreparable loss.

In view of the same, there shall be interim suspension of the auction order in E.C.Act Case No.27/2025-S2 dated 13.02.2025 issued by the 2nd respondent, for a period of eight (8) weeks.

Meanwhile, the petitioner is at liberty to approach the 2nd respondent by making an application for release of the seized stock of 702 quintals of rice, and on receipt of such representation, the 2nd respondent shall consider the same and pass appropriate orders within one week.

W.P.No.6121 of 2025

List the matter after six (6) weeks.

Meanwhile, the respondents shall file counters.

SUMATHI JAGADAM,J