



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH
AT AMARAVATI
(Special Original Jurisdiction)**

[3506]

MONDAY, THE SEVENTEENTH DAY OF MARCH
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY FIVE

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE CHALLA GUNARANJAN

WRIT PETITION NO: 6390/2025

Between:

Vanamu Venkata Pulla Reddy

...PETITIONER

AND

The State Of Andhra Pradesh and Others

...RESPONDENT(S)

Counsel for the Petitioner:

1.K GANI REDDY

Counsel for the Respondent(S):

1.GP FOR SERVICES I

The Court made the following order:

This Writ Petition is filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for the following relief/s:-

“...to issue appropriate writ or order or direction more particularly one in the nature of writ of mandamus declaring the action of the respondents in removing the petitioner from the services permanently vide R.C.No.D2/607/EGS/2024 dated 03-02-2025 without any personal hearing, without seeing documentary evidence submitted by the petitioner and without giving the reasonable opportunity to submit his final explanation on the enquiry report of the enquiry officer illegal, arbitrary, against the principles of natural justice and consequently set aside the Proceedings Rc.No.D2/607/IGS/2024 dated 03-02-2025 by reinstating the petitioner into service, in the interest of justice and to pass such other order or orders....”

2. Heard Sri K.Gani Reddy, learned counsel for the petitioner, learned Assistant Government Pleader for Services-I for respondent No.1, Sri M.S.R.Chandra Murthy, learned Standing Counsel, appearing for respondent Nos.2,4,5 & 6 and Smt B.V.Aparna Lakshmi, learned Standing Counsel appearing for respondent Nos.3 and 7.

3. The petitioner, while working as Field Assistant under the Mahatma Gandhi National Rural Employment Guarantee Scheme (MGNREGS) at Somidevipalli Gram Panchayat, Racharla Mandal, Prakasam District, was issued a show cause notice dated 16.12.2024, for certain irregularities. For which, the petitioner submitted explanation on 27.12.2024. The 2nd respondent, upon

considering the explanation and providing an opportunity of hearing, passed the impugned proceedings Rc.No.D2/607/EGS/2024 dated 03.02.2025, removing the petitioner from service. Aggrieved by the same, the petitioner preferred the present writ petition.

4. Sri M.S.R.Chandra Murthy, learned Standing Counsel, appearing for respondent Nos.2, 4, 5 and 6, on instructions submits that the petitioner has already preferred appeal before the District Collector on 24.02.2025, challenging the impugned termination order, and hearing has been fixed on 18.03.2025, which the learned counsel for the petitioner does not refute.

5. Since the petitioner has already availed the remedy of appeal under Clause (13)(c) of the Field Assistant Human Resource Policy, 2013, and the same is pending before the District Collector, the present Writ Petition is disposed of, directing the appellate authority to consider the appeal on merits and pass appropriate orders, in accordance with law, within a period of four (04) weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order. There shall be no order as to costs.

As a sequel, miscellaneous petitions, pending if any, shall stand closed.

JUSTICE CHALLA GUNARANJAN

Date: 17.03.2025
KBN

19

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE CHALLA GUNARANJAN

WRIT PETITION NO: 6390/2025

Date: 17.03.2025
KBN