



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA
PRADESH
AT AMARAVATI
(Special Original Jurisdiction)**

[3504]

MONDAY, THE TWENTY SEVENTH DAY OF APRIL
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY SIX

PRESENT

**THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE MAHESWARA RAO
KUNCHEAM**

WRIT PETITION NO: 6368/2025

Between:

1. MUDUNURI RAMA RAJU, S/O. VENKATA KRISHNAM RAJU, AGED 52 YEARS, R/O. 48- 1-39, KALYAN VIHAR, SRI NAGAR, VISAKHAPATNAM, VISAKHAPATNAM DISTRICT, ANDHRA PRADESH.

...PETITIONER

AND

1. THE STATE OF AP, REPRESENTED BY ITS PRINCIPAL SECRETARY REVENUE DEPARTMENT SECRETARIAT BUILDINGS AT VELAGAPUDI, GUNTUR DISTRICT, ANDHRA PRADESH.
2. THE DISTRICT COLLECTOR, , VISAKHAPATNAM DISTRICT AT VISAKHAPATNAM, ANDHRA PRADESH.
3. THE TAHSILDAR, ANANDAPURAM MANDAL, VISAKHAPATNAM DISTRICT ANDHRA PRADESH.
4. ANDHRA PRADESH INDUSTRIAL INFRASTRUCTURE CORPORATION LIMITED APIIC, MANGALAGIRI, GUNTUR DISTRICT, ANDHRA PRADESH, REPRESENTED BY ITS MANAGING DIRECTOR.
5. THE ZONAL MANAGER, , ANDHRA PRADESH INDUSTRIAL INFRASTRUCTURE CORPORATION LIMITED APIIC, INDUSTRIAL ESTATE, VISAKHAPATNAM, VISAKHAPATNAM DISTRICT, ANDHRA PRADESH.
6. THE DISTRICT MINES OFFICER, , VISAKHAPATNAM DISTRICT, ANDHRA PRADESH.

...RESPONDENT(S):

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed therewith, the High Court may be pleased to issue a Writ or Order or Direction more particularly one in the nature of Writ of Mandamus declaring the action of the respondents 1 to 3 herein in

proposing to alienate the mineral rich land as well as the land which was granted in favour of the petitioner for quarry operations in Proceedings No. 230/Q2V/2018 dated 18.03.2023 issued by the 6TH respondent in Sy. No. 211 carved from Sy. No. 1 of Tarluvada Village, Anandapuram Mandal, Visakhapatnam District in favour of the and the respondents herein for industrial purpose as illegal, arbitrary and also oppose to G.O.Ms. No. 74 Industries and Commerce (MI) Department dated 16.02.2002 as well as oppose to principles of natural justice and also oppose to Article 14 and 19(1)(g) of the Constitution of India consequentially direct the respondents 1 to 3 herein not to alienate the land in Sy. No. 211 carved from Sy. No. 1 of Tarluvada Village, Anandapuram Mandal, Visakhapatnam District in favour of the respondents 4 and 5 or any other 3RD parties and to pass

IA NO: 1 OF 2025

Petition under Section 151 CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased to direct the respondents 1 to 3 herein not to alienate the land in Sy. No. 211 carved from Sy.No.1 of Tarluvada Village, Anandapuram Mandal, Visakhapatnam District in favour of the respondents 4 and 5 or any other 3 parties pending disposal of the above writ petition and to pass

IA NO: 2 OF 2025

Petition under Section 151 CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased may be pleased to grant leave to Respondent No.6 in the Writ Petition.No.6368 of 2025 to file counter affidavit in the above Writ Petition and pass

IA NO: 1 OF 2026

Petition under Section 151 CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased may be pleased to grant leave to the petitioner herein, i.e., the respondent no; 4 & 5 to file the counter affidavit in the instant WP No. 6368 of 2025 and pass

Counsel for the Petitioner:

1.V SAI KUMAR

Counsel for the Respondent(S):

1.GP FOR REVENUE

2.SANTHI CHANDRA SC For APIIC

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE MAHESWARA RAO KUNCHEAM

WRIT PETITION NO: 6368 of 2025

The Court made the following Order:

Sri V.Sai Kumar, learned counsel for the petitioner basing on the specific instructions from his client, submits that the respondent authorities have assured the petitioner that adequate compensation will be provided by allotting alternate land and hence, he sought permission of this Court to withdraw the present Writ Petition.

2. Sri Pattivada Rama Krishna, learned Government Pleader for Mines and Geology submits that he has no instructions regarding the alleged assurance given by respondent authorities.

3. Permission is accorded.

4. Recording the submission made by respective counsel, without expressing any opinion, the instant Writ Petition is dismissed as withdrawn. There shall be no order as to costs.

Interlocutory applications, if any, pending shall stand closed.

JUSTICE MAHESWARA RAO KUNCHEAM

Date: 27.04.2026
PSA