

HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH AT AMARAVATHI

MAIN CASE: W.P.No.6206 of 2023

PROCEEDING SHEET

Sl.No.	DATE	ORDER	Office Note
1.	15.03.2023	<p><u>BKM, J</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><u>I.A.No.1 of 2023</u></p> <p>Heard.</p> <p>Dispensed with the order for the present and the certified copies shall be produced as and when it is issued.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><u>W.P.No.6206 of 2023</u></p> <p>Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and the learned Assistant Government Pleader for Revenue for the respondents.</p> <p>The learned Government Pleader for Revenue takes notice for the respondent Nos.1 to 6.</p> <p>The learned counsel for the petitioner is permitted to take out personal notice to the 7th respondent and proof of service shall be filed within a period of three (03) weeks from today.</p> <p>The grievance of the writ petitioner is that, the 2nd respondent passed the impugned order dated 09.05.2011 confirming the orders of the 4th respondent dated 27.05.1997 by</p>	

	<p>dismissing the revision petition filed by the petitioner's grandmother on the sole ground that at the time of grant of patta for the said land the grandmother was not the land less poor person and the original assignee is not residing in the said village. Even when the similar order was passed by the 2nd respondent in the earlier round of litigation, the matter was remanded back and still the 2nd respondent confirmed the orders of the 4th respondent dated 27.05.1997.</p> <p>The learned Senior Counsel for the petitioner relies upon the documents with respect to the subject land in an extent of Acres 5.14 cents in Survey No.389-2 of Kandukur Village, Anantapur Mandal, Anantapuramu District demonstrating the continuous possession of the petitioner's family members and he further asserts that, even before the authorities concerned when the matter is pending there is an order of <i>status quo</i>.</p> <p>On the other hand, the learned Assistant Government Pleader for Revenue appearing for the respondents submits that, the petitioner approached this Court belatedly questioning the impugned order of the 2nd respondent dated 09.05.2011 and as such no interim relief can be granted at this stage.</p>	
--	--	--

		<p>He further submits that, pursuant to the impugned order of the 2nd respondent dated 09.05.2011 much water has flown by now and it has to be verified with respect to the subject land, the rights of the parties.</p> <p>In view of the above said facts and circumstances and contentions raised on the both the sides, this Court <i>prima facie</i> feels that no harm would cause if <i>status quo</i> as on today is maintained for either of the parties with reference to the subject land.</p> <p>Hence, there shall be an order of <i>status quo</i> as on today to be maintained with respect to the subject land in an extent of Acres 5.14 cents in Survey No.389-2 of Kandukur Village, Anantapur Mandal, Anantapuramu District, pending further orders.</p> <p>List on 12.04.2023.</p> <p>In the meanwhile, counters shall be filed by the official respondents.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">_____ BKM, J</p> <p>PGT/BSK</p>	
--	--	---	--