

IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH AT AMARAVATI

MONDAY, THE SEVENTEENTH DAY OF MARCH
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY FIVE

PRESENT

HONOURABLE DR JUSTICE VENKATA JYOTHIRMAI PRATAPA

CRIMINAL PETITION No.2526 of 2025



Between:

Mekala Narendra Yadav, aged 23 years, S/o. Venkata Subhaiah, D.No.2/3,
Nanganurupalli Village, Proddatur Mandal, Kadapa District.

...Petitioner/Accused No.1

AND

The State of Andhra Pradesh, Through its SHO, Proddatur Rural Police
Station, Kadapa District, Rep by its Public Prosecutor, High Court of A.P.

...Respondent/Complainant

Petition under Section 482 of BNSS praying that in the circumstances stated in the Memorandum of Grounds of Criminal Petition, the High Court may be pleased to grant Anticipatory bail in the event of the Petitioner's arrest in Cr.No.785 of 2024 on the file of Proddatur Rural Police Station, for the alleged offences Section 118(1), 109 r/w 3(5) BNS.

This Petition coming on for hearing, upon perusing the Memorandum of Grounds of Criminal Petition and upon hearing the arguments of Sri Thathireddy Ashok Srivastava, Advocate for the Petitioner and the Public Prosecutor on behalf of the Respondent.

The Court made the following: ORDER



IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH
AT AMARAVATI
(Special Original Jurisdiction)

[3396]

MONDAY, THE SEVENTEENTH DAY OF MARCH
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY FIVE
PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE DR JUSTICE VENKATA JYOTHIRMAI PRATAPA
CRIMINAL PETITION NO: 2526/2025

Between:

Mekala Narendra Yadav

...PETITIONER/ACCUSED

AND

The State Of Andhra Pradesh

...RESPONDENT/COMPLAINANT

Counsel for the Petitioner/accused:

1. THATHIREDDY ASHOK SRIVASTAVA

Counsel for the Respondent/complainant:

1. PUBLIC PROSECUTOR

The Court made the following Order:

The Criminal Petition, under Section 482 of the BNSS, is filed on behalf of the petitioner herein/A1 to grant anticipatory bail in connection with Crime No.785 of 2024 of Proddatur Rural Police Station, Kadapa District, registered for the offences punishable under Sections 118(1) read with 3(5) of the BNS.

2. Case of the prosecution in brief is that on 11.12.2024, at about 6.00pm, A1 along with other accused attacked the defacto complainant. A1 dealt a blow with iron rod and caused bleeding injury on the head of the defacto complainant. A2 to A5 pelted stones on the defacto complainant and caused dumb injuries all over his body. Wife of the defacto complainant witnessed the same and went to rescue, then the A6 caught the tuft and dragged and beat with hands and on witnessing the same, one Venkataramana tried to rescue the defacto complainant and

his wife and all the accused were beat him also with stones caused dumb injuries on his right flank and later the complainant went to Government hospital, Proddatur for treatment.

3. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and the learned Assistant Public Prosecutor representing the respondent/State. Perused the material on record.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner would submit that A2 and A3 in the present crime were already enlarged on regular bail, A4 and A6 were granted anticipatory bail. This is a case and counter case, based on the complaint made by the petitioner, a case in Crime No.286 of 2024 has been registered against the defacto complainant and others. Learned counsel for the petitioner further would submit that by this time most of the investigation might have been completed and further would submit that on 12.12.2024 the petitioner has appeared for RRB examination at Tirupati and hence, he prays this Court to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

5. Learned Assistant Public Prosecutor vehemently opposed the prayer of the petitioner for grant of anticipatory bail on the ground that there are specific allegations made against the petitioner herein and investigation is at crucial stage and it is pending for examination of some more witnesses.

6. Considering the submissions made and on perusal of the material on record, it is alleged against the petitioner herein is that he has attacked the complainant party by using iron rod and there are specific allegations made against the petitioner herein. In the light of the stage of investigation and also the severity of the allegations made against the petitioner, this Court is not inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner herein.

7. Accordingly, the Criminal Petition is **dismissed**.

Miscellaneous applications pending, if any, shall stand closed.

Sd/- P. VINOD KUMAR
ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

//TRUE COPY//

SECTION OFFICER

To

1. The II Additional Judicial Magistrate of First Class, Proddatur, Kadapa District.
2. The Station House Officer, Proddatur Rural Police Station, Kadapa District.
3. Two CCs to the Public Prosecutor, High Court of Andhra Pradesh, Amaravathi [OUT]
4. One CC to Sri Thathireddy Ashok Srivastava, Advocate [OPUC]
5. **Three CD Copies.**

TK

gl

HIGH COURT

TK

DATED:17/03/2025

ORDER

CRLP.No.2526 of 2025



DISMISSING THE CRIMINAL PETITION