

IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH AT AMARAVATI
MONDAY, THE SEVENTEENTH DAY OF MARCH
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY FIVE ✓

[3369]



:PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE DR. JUSTICE VENKATA JYOTHIRMAI PRATAPA ✓
CRIMINAL PETITION NO: 2455 OF 2025 ✓

Between:

Pediredla Ganesh, S/O. Pediredla Ramana, Aged 34 years, R/o. Flat
No.401, 4th floor, Sree Nilayam, D.No.31-31-4, Sai Baba Street, Daba
Gardens, Visakhapatnam, Visakhapatnam District. ✓

Petitioner/Sole Accused

AND

The State of A.P. Rep by its Public Prosecutor, Through the SHO,
Mahila UPS, Visakhapatnam District, High Court of Andhra Pradesh
at Amravati. ✓

Respondent/Complainant

Petition under Sections 480 & 483 of BNSS (Old Sections 437 & 439 of
Cr.P.C), praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in
support of the Criminal Petition, the High Court may be pleased to release the
Petitioner/Accused on bail in FIR No. 16 of 2025 of Mahila PS,
Visakhapatnam, Visakhapatnam District dated 27-01-2025. ✓

The petition coming on for hearing, upon perusing the Petition and the
grounds filed in support thereof and upon hearing the arguments of Sri
DANYAMRAJU UMA MAHESWARA RAO, Advocate for the Petitioner and of
PUBLIC PROSECUTOR for the Respondent and the Court made the
following;



IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH
AT AMARAVATI
(Special Original Jurisdiction)

[3396]

MONDAY, THE SEVENTEENTH DAY OF MARCH
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY FIVE

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE SMT DR JUSTICE VENKATA JYOTHIRMAI PRATAPA
CRIMINAL PETITION NO: 2455/2025

Between:

Pediredla Ganesh

...PETITIONER/ACCUSED

AND

The State Of Andhra Pradesh

...RESPONDENT/COMPLAINANT

Counsel for the Petitioner/accused:

1.DANYAMRAJU UMA MAHESWARA RAO

Counsel for the Respondent/complainant:

1.PUBLIC PROSECUTOR

The Court made the following Order:

This Criminal Petition, under Sections 480 and 483 of the BNSS, has been filed by the petitioner herein, seeking regular bail, in Crime No.16 of 2025 of Mahila Urban Police Station, Visakhapatnam, registered for the offences punishable under Section 69 of the BNS.

2. Brief facts of the case are that, on 27.01.2025, the defacto complainant filed a report before the police stating that she is working as a Executive Assistant at Mane India, Hyderabad. In the year 2024, one Pediredla Ganesh got acquainted with her through Instagram. Later, he proposed her, expressing his desire to marry her. She requested him to inform his parents about their intentions, but he insisted that his parents would agree only if they were in a physical relationship. But she denied his proposal of physical relationship. On 29.08.2024, accused took her to his new flat located at Narayana Street, Dabagardens, Visakhapatnam

for housewarming ceremony, introduced her to his parents as friend. After ceremony, at about 14.30 hours he took her to one of the bedrooms of new flat, incited and induced her and had sexual intercourse with her forcibly on the pretext of false promise of marriage. Later he came to her flat i.e., at Rajendra Heights, Panchavati Colony, Manikonda, Hyderabad on 16.12.2024 and 22.12.2024 under the pretext of marriage, forcibly had sexual intercourse with her. When she asked him about marriage, he refused by informing some excuses and started avoiding her. Hence, the present complaint.

3. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and the learned Assistant Public Prosecutor representing the respondent/State. Perused the material on record.
4. Learned counsel for the petitioner would submit that only on suspicion the petitioner himself surrender before the Court on 28.01.2025 and since then, he has been in judicial custody. Learned counsel for the petitioner would further submit that most of the investigation is completed and a false case is lodged against the petitioner alleging that on making false promise of marriage to the victim, he has sexually abused her and further submit that the petitioner is ready to furnish sureties to the Satisfaction of the Court and hence, he prays to grant regular bail to the petitioner herein.

5. On the other hand, learned Assistant Public Prosecutor would submit that as many as eleven witnesses were examined in the present crime and the charge sheet is not filed for want of RFSL report and opposed the prayer of the petitioner for grant of bail.

6. Considering the submissions made and on perusal of the material on record, it is seen that eleven witnesses were examined in the present crime and the charge sheet is not filed for want of RFSL report.

7. Considering the stage of the investigation and also the fact that the petitioner himself surrendered before the Court, this Court is inclined to grant bail to the petitioner herein, on the following conditions.

i. The petitioner herein shall be released on executing a personal bond for Rs.20,000/- (Rupees twenty thousand only) with two sureties for a like sum each to the satisfaction of the learned I Additional Chief Metropolitan Magistrate, Visakhapatnam.

ii. On release, the petitioner shall appear before the Station House Officer concerned, twice in a week i.e. on every Sunday and Wednesday between 10.00 a.m. and 05.00 p.m., till filing of the charge sheet.

iii. The petitioner shall make himself available for investigation as and when required and that he shall not cause any threat, inducement or promise to the prosecution witnesses.

8. Accordingly, the Criminal Petition is **allowed**.

As a sequel thereto, the miscellaneous applications, if any, pending in this Criminal Petition shall stand closed.

SD/- K. KASIRAO ACHARI
ASSISTANT REGISTRAR
SECTION OFFICER

//TRUE COPY

To,

1. The I Additional Chief Metropolitan Magistrate at Visakhapatnam, Visakhapatnam District.
2. The VII Additional District & Sessions Judge:: Visakhapatnam
3. The Superintendent, Central Prison, Visakhapatnam
4. The S.H.O., Mahila PS, Visakhapatnam, Visakhapatnam District
5. One CC to SRI. DANYAMRAJU UMA MAHESWARA RAO Advocate [OPUC]
6. Two CCs to Public Prosecutor, High Court of AP [OUT]
7. One spare copy

HIGH COURT

DR.VJP,J

DATED:17/03/2025

BAIL ORDER

CRLP.No.2455 of 2025

ALLOWED

