

HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH AT AMARAVATHI

MAIN CASE No.W.P.No.7342 of 2022

PROCEEDING SHEET

Sl.No.	DATE	ORDER	Office Note
07.	15.06.2026	<u>RRR, J & TCDS, J</u> The case of the petitioner is that he had resigned from the post of Attender to join as Personal Assistant, after obtaining necessary permissions for such change of appointment. The petitioner further contends that on account of the proviso to Rule 26 of the A.P. Revised Pension Rules, 1980, he would be entitled to count his service as Attender, for the purpose of fixing his pension and other benefits. The learned Standing Counsel, on the other hand, contends that Note – 1 to the proviso of Rule 26 requires the authority to grant such permissions with a specific observation that the resignation is being accepted in terms of the proviso to Rule 26. In the circumstances, the permission/consent given by the authority, while accepting the resignation of the petitioner as Attender, would be relevant. Learned counsel for the petitioner has produced a communication submitted by the	

		petitioner to the learned Principal District Judge, Chittoor, on 02.06.2008, seeking such permission. The learned counsel would submit that the endorsement made in writing, in such communication, would show that such permission had been granted under the proviso to Rule 26. The handwriting, relied upon by the petitioner, is not clear in the copy produced by the learned counsel for the petitioner. The learned Standing Counsel seeks time to produce the said communication available in the records of the learned District Judge, Chittoor. Post on 06.07.2026. <u>RRR, J</u> <u>TCDS, J</u> MJA	
--	--	---	--