

APHC010114522015



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH
AT AMARAVATI
(Special Original Jurisdiction)**

[3328]

FRIDAY, THE SEVENTH DAY OF NOVEMBER
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY FIVE

PRESENT

**THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE GANNAMANENI RAMAKRISHNA
PRASAD**

WRIT PETITION NO: 19377/2015

Between:

1. CHALAPATI VEERA VENKATA SATYANARAYANA,
S/O. NOOKARAJU,, AGED 50 YEARS.
2. SMT. CHALAPATI VIJAYA BHARATHI,, W/O. CHALAPATI VEERA
VENKATA SATYANARAYANA, AGED ABOUT 44 YEARS. BOTH ARE
RESIDENTS OF RAGOLAPALLI VILLAGE, TALLAPUDI MANDAL,
WEST GODAVARI DISTRICT

...PETITIONER(S)

AND

1. A P EASTERN POWER DISTRIBUTION CORPORATION LTD REP
BY, ITS CHAIRMAN & MANAGING DIRECTOR, OFFICE SITUATED
AT P&T COLONY, SEETHAMMADHARA, VISAKHAPATNAM.
2. THE PERSON INCHARGE MEGA ENGINEERING COMPANY,
PATTESEEMA LIFT IRRIGATION (PUMP HOUSE), POLAVARAM,
WEST GODAVARI DISTRICT.
3. THE DIVISIONAL ENGINEER OPERATIONS APEPDCL,
NIDADAVOLU, WEST GODAVARI DISTRICT.
4. THE ADDITIONAL DIVISIONAL ENGINEER LINES 220 KV GAS,
POWER STATION, PALLANTLA, DEVARAPALLI MANDAL, WEST
GODAVARI DISTRICT.

...RESPONDENT(S):

Counsel for the Petitioner(S):

1.K JYOTHI PRASAD

Counsel for the Respondent(S):

1.V V SATISH (SC for APEPDCL)

2.METTA CHENDRA SEKHAR RAO

3.N SIVA REDDY(SC FOR APTRANSCO)

The Court made the following ORAL ORDER:

Heard Sri A.Pavan Kumar, Ld. Counsel appearing on behalf of Sri K. Jyothi Prasad, Ld. Counsel for the Writ Petitioner and Sri V.V.Satish, Ld. Standing Counsel for the APEPDCL.

2. Since this Writ Petition is of the year 2015, on 31.10.2025, this Court indicted that this matter will be heard and disposed of on the next date of listing. At that point of time, this Court had also indicated that if no instructions are forthcoming from the Writ Petitioner, this Court would consider dismissing the Writ Petition for non-prosecution.

3. Sri A.Pavan Kumar, Ld. Counsel appearing on behalf of Sri K. Jyothi Prasad, Ld. Counsel for the Writ Petitioner submits that in compliance with the Para-3 of the Order of this Court dated 31.10.2025, Ld. Counsel for the Writ Petitioners has sent Registered Post with acknowledgment due on 03.11.2025 to both the Writ Petitioners, despite which the Writ Petitioners herein did not respond. Ld. Counsel for the Writ Petitioners has placed on record the copy of the 'Letter' addressed to the Writ Petitioners along with the proof of Postal Receipts. They are taken on record.

4. The prayer sought in the present Writ Petition would indicate the Petitioners are questioning the action of the Respondents in trying to lay High Tension Wires across the Petitioners agricultural lands. The docket indicates that this Court has not granted any interim protection to the Writ Petitioners. Therefore, this Court is of the view that the cause of action may not survive.

5. In this view of the matter, this Writ Petition is dismissed for non-prosecution. No order as to costs.

6. The Registry is directed to preserve the record of this Writ Petition for a period of ten (10) weeks. If the Writ Petitioners are of the view that the cause of action still survives, the Writ Petitioners are given liberty to file an Application for Restoration within eight (08) weeks from the date of uploading of this Order on the web-site of this Court. If no attempt is made by the Writ Petitioners within eight (08) weeks as stated above, the Registry is at liberty to destroy the Writ Petition record as per the Rules after ten (10) weeks.

7. Interlocutory Applications, if any, stand closed in terms of this order.

GANNAMANENI RAMAKRISHNA PRASAD, J

Dt: 07.11.2025

MNR

97

HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE GANNAMANENI RAMAKRISHNA PRASAD

WRIT PETITION No.19377 OF 2015

Dt.07.11.2025
Mnr