

IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH :: AMARAVATI

(Special Original Jurisdiction)

THURSDAY, THE TWENTIETH DAY OF MARCH

TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY FIVE

PRESENT

WRIT PETITION NO: 6083 OF 2025



Between:

Atluri Rama Krishnaiah S/o Venkata Raju
Aged 70 years, Occ: VRO (Retired)
Pedarajupalem village, C.S Puram mandal,
Prakasam District.

...PETITIONER

AND

1. The State of Andhra Pradesh
Rep. by its Principal Secretary,
Revenue department, A.P Secretariat,
Velagapudi, Amaravati, Guntur District.
2. The Chief Commissioner of Land Administration,
Mangalagiri, Guntur District.
3. The Collector & District Magistrate,
Prakasam District, Ongole.
4. The Tahsildar,
Marripudi Mandal,
Prakasam District,
5. The Director Treasuries and Accounts,
Mangalagiri, Guntur District.

...RESPONDENTS

IA NO: 1 OF 2024

Petition under Section 151 CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the writ petition, the High Court may be pleased to grant stay of all further proceedings in pursuance of the proceedings, dated 21.09.2024, pending disposal of the above Writ Petition. ✓

Counsel for the Petitioner: M/s KAVITHA GOTTIPATI ✓

Counsel for the Respondents No.1 to 4: GP FOR REVENUE ✓

Counsel for the Respondent No.5: GP FOR FINANCE AND PLANNING ✓

The Court made the following: ORDER



IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA
PRADESH
AT AMARAVATI
(Special Original Jurisdiction)

[3506]

THURSDAY ,THE TWENTIETH DAY OF MARCH
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY FIVE

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE CHALLA GUNARANJAN

WRIT PETITION NO: 6083/2025

Between:

Atluri Rama Krishnaiah

...PETITIONER

AND

The State Of Andhra Pradesh and Others

...RESPONDENT(S)

Counsel for the Petitioner:

1.KAVITHA GOTTIPATI

Counsel for the Respondent(S):

1.GP FOR REVENUE

The Court made the following ORDER:

The present Writ petition is filed for following relief:

"....to issue a Writ, Order or Direction more particularly one in the nature of Writ of Mandamus declaring the action of the respondents in not releasing the sanctioned amount of Rs.57,024/- towards reimbursement of medical expenditure incurred by the petitioner from 23.03.2021 to 25.03.2021 towards his self-treatment for "Peri Anal Abscess" at KIMS Hospital, Nellore is illegal, arbitrary, unjust and violative of Art.14 and 16 of the

Constitution of India and consequently direct the respondents to release the sanctioned amount of Rs.57,024/- towards reimbursement of medical expenditure incurred by the petitioner and pass..."

2. The petitioner retired as Village Revenue Officer on 30.06.2013. He has undergone a surgery at KIMS hospital, Nellore, during March 2021, for which, he claimed medical reimbursement for an amount of Rs.74,741/- from the 4th respondent. The said bills were scrutinized and Rs.57,024/- came to be admitted as per APIMA Rules, 1972. The 2nd respondent vide CCLA's proceedings No.REV02-39033/503/2021-COORDINATION-II dated 14.06.2022 directed the 3rd respondent to disburse the same, and in turn the 3rd respondent vide D.Dis.Comp.No.H4/290900/2021 dated 19.07.2022, further directed the 4th respondent to disburse the sanctioned amount. In spite of the same, there is a substantial delay in acting on the aforesaid disbursal to the petitioner.

3. The petitioner has submitted representations dated 06.03.2023 and 19.08.2024 to the 3rd respondent, to consider and disburse the amounts as already approved towards medical reimbursement.

The 5th respondent, thereafter, issued a memo dated 08.08.2024 observing that the bill have been returned with an endorsement "due to validity of CCLA sanction order has expired" and thereby the bill could not be settled. The 4th respondent is required to once again initiate steps for processing the bill by seeking necessary approvals or sanction order from CCLA. Since the same is not attended to, the present writ petition is filed.

4. Heard Sri A.V.N. Yashwant, learned counsel for the petitioner and learned Government Pleader for Services-I appearing on behalf of the respondents.

5. The written instructions are placed on record. In the said written instructions, reads as follows:

i. The petitioner has filed a representation to the 4th respondent and requested for sanction of amount of Rs.74,741/- towards reimbursement of medical expenditure duly submitting all medical bills.

ii. Later the 4th respondent submitted the proposals to the District Administration for Sanction of amount towards reimbursement of medical expenditure.

iii. And subsequently the 3rd respondent has issued sanction orders for an amount of Rs.57,024/-
vide proceedings
No.D.Dis.Comp.No.H4/290900/2021, dated
19.07.2022.

iv. In this connection, the 4th respondent has submitted bill to the Sub Treasury Office, Podili, on 07.03.2023 *vide* CFMS bill No.2022-2134430. Later, the STO, Podili has rejected the bill showing the reason as "due to Special Chief Secretary to Government CCLA AP Vijayawada authorized copy not uploaded for verification".

v. Later, at the same time the establishment clerk (Sr.Assistant), Drawing Officer (Dy.Tahsildar) & Tahsildar of the Tahsildar Officer, Marripudi were suspended by district authorities.

vi. Further, the newly appointed staff has re-submitted the bill to the Sub Treasury Office, Podili on 26.06.2024, *vide* CFMS bill No.2023-2710133 by attaching all the required documents. In this regard, the STO, Podili has rejected the bill again showing the reason as "due to validity of CCLA Sanction Order is one year as per APFC VOL1".

vii. In this connection, the 4th respondent will submit the proposals to the District Authority for re-validating the CCLA Sanction Order and after getting the orders from the District Authority, further action will be taken as per the norms.

6. The instructions referred above don't dispute about the medical reimbursement to be made to the petitioner for an amount of Rs.57,024/-. Further, though as per the directions of the 2nd and 3rd respondents, the bill was processed for payment, the same got returned on technical objections that the earlier sanction order issued by the CCLA since being one year, same got expired by the time of processing the payment. Therefore, the 4th respondent is to re-process bill once again.

7. Since, the facts stated above are not in dispute and that even written instructions say that the respondents are taking steps

to re-processing the aforesaid bill once again, the Writ Petition is disposed of, directing the respondents No.2 to 4 once again process the bill towards reimbursement of medical expenditure in favour of the petitioner and ensure necessary sanctioned order is secured from CCLA before the same is uploaded with CFMS for releasing of payment. The said exercise shall be completed within three (03) months and petitioner be disbursed the amount accordingly. There shall be no order as to costs.

As a sequel thereto, miscellaneous petitions pending, if any, shall stand closed.

//TRUE COPY//

**Sd/- J SAROJA
ASSISTANT REGISTRAR**


SECTION OFFICER

To,

1. The Principal Secretary, State of Andhra Pradesh, Revenue department, A.P Secretariat, Velagapudi, Amaravati, Guntur District.
 2. The Chief Commissioner of Land Administration, Mangalagiri, Guntur District.
 3. The Collector & District Magistrate, Prakasam District, Ongole.
 4. The Tahsildar, Marripudi Mandal, Prakasam District,
 5. The Director Treasuries and Accounts, Mangalagiri, Guntur District.
 6. One CC to M/s. KAVITHA GOTTIPATI, Advocate [OPUC]
 7. Two CCs to GP FOR REVENUE, High Court of Andhra Pradesh at Amaravati [OUT]
 8. Two CCs to GP FOR FINANCE AND PLANNING, High Court of Andhra Pradesh at Amaravati [OUT]
 9. Three C.D. Copies
- nm

HIGH COURT

DATED: 20/03/2025

ORDER 6083

WP.No. 60831 of 2025



DISPOSING OF THE WP WITHOUT COSTS