



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH
AT AMARAVATI
(Special Original Jurisdiction)**

[3329]

**TUESDAY ,THE EIGHTEENTH DAY OF MARCH
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY FIVE**

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE VENKATESWARLU NIMMAGADDA

WRIT PETITION NO: 5709/2025

Between:

Aka Venkata Subramanyam

...PETITIONER

AND

The State Of Andhra Pradesh and Others

...RESPONDENT(S)

Counsel for the Petitioner:

1.B V ANJANEYULU

Counsel for the Respondent(S):

1.GP FOR REVENUE

The Court made the following ORDER:

1. This Writ Petition is filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India seeking the following relief:

“to issue a Writ of Mandamus or any other appropriate writ order or direction declaring the action of the respondents 3 and 4 in not mutating the name of the petitioner in the relevant revenue records for the land in an extent of Ac 24 74 cents in Sy Nos 117Ac 9 46 cents 577 Ac 6 46 cents 579 Ac 4 17 cents 574/2 Ac 2 49 cents and 590 Ac 2 16 cents of Takkellapadu Village Pedakakani Mandal Guntur District

though I have submitted representation dated 08 08 2024 requesting to rectification of entries in Record of Rights as illegal arbitrary and violation of Article 300A of the Constitution of India and consequently directing the respondents to consider the application Nos MUT250223001884 MUT250223001905 MUT250223001925 and MUT250223001938 submitted through Mee Seva for mutation of the name of the petitioner in the revenue records under the provisions of AP Rights in Land and Pattadar Pass books Act 1971 and to pass such other order or orders...”

1. Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and the learned Government Pleader appearing for the respondents.
2. The case of the petitioner is that, the petitioner submits that the petitioner is the absolute owner and possessor of land measuring to a total extent of Ac.24.74 cents in Sy.Nos.117 (Ac.9.46 cents) 577 (Ac.6.46 cents) 579 (Ac.4.17 cents) 574/2 (Ac.2.49 cents) and 590 (Ac.2.16 cents) of Takkellapadu Village Pedakakani Mandal Guntur District. The petitioner acquired this property through a registered Will dated 21.05.1981, executed by his father.
3. The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the said properties originally belonged to Aka Dandayya Pantulu, the petitioner's grandfather, who executed a settlement deed dated 19.04.1944 in favor of the petitioner's father. The petitioner's father remained in possession of the properties until his demise and, during his lifetime, executed a registered Will dated 21.05.1981, distributing the properties among the petitioner, his

brothers, and their mother. The petitioner submitted a representation dated 08.08.2024 to the 4th respondent, requesting the mutation of his name in the revenue records in respect of the subject properties. However, even after receiving the petitioner's representation dated 08.08.2024, the respondents authorities neither considered the representation nor issued any mutation proceedings in favor of the petitioner. Hence, the present writ petition.

4. On the other hand, the learned Government Pleader for Revenue, appearing for the respondents, submits that if the petitioner submits a fresh representation, the respondents will consider the same and pass appropriate orders in accordance with the law.

5. Having regard to the submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioner and the learned Government Pleader for Revenue appearing for the respondents, and upon perusal of the material available on record, this Court is of the considered opinion that the present Writ Petition can be disposed of by directing the petitioner to submit an application in Form No.6A seeking for mutation of his name in the revenue records, pursuant to the registered **Will** said to have been executed by his father in the year 1981, within a period of two (02) weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order. On receipt of the said representation/application, the respondents shall consider the same and, after issuing notice to the other legal heirs of Aka Dandayya Pantulu (the petitioner's grandfather) and any other

stakeholders, including those claiming rights by way of tenancy, encroachment, or occupation, and after having detailed enquiry. Thereafter, the respondents shall pass appropriate orders within a period of three (03) months, in accordance with the law.

With the above direction, the writ petition is disposed of. There shall be no order as to costs.

Consequently, Miscellaneous Petitions, if any, pending in the writ petition shall stand closed.

VENKATESWARLU NIMMAGADDA, J

18.03.2025

klk

HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE VENKATESWARLU NIMMAGADDA

WRIT PETITION No.5709 of 2025

18.03.2025

klk