

HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH AT AMARAVATI**MAIN CASE No: M.A.C.M.A.No.912 of 2016****PROCEEDING SHEET**

Sl. No.	DATE	ORDER	OFFICE NOTE
12.	11.11.2024	<u>SV,J</u> <u>I.A.Nos.1 and 2 of 2024</u> These Interlocutory Applications are filed seeking to condone the delay of 243 days in filing the restoration petition in M.A.C.M.A.No.912 of 2016 and restore the M.A.C.M.A.No.912 of 2016. Heard both sides. Learned counsel for the 2nd respondent/insurance company has opposed to condone the delay in filing the restoration petition in M.A.C.M.A.No.912 of 2016 and to restore the M.A.C.M.A.No.912 of 2016. Perused the affidavit filed in support of the applications, due to health issues of the original counsel on record from Hyderabad, he could not attend the Court. Subsequently, the petitioners/claimants came to know their appeal was dismissed for default. The ground which was stated by the counsel for the petitioners through the affidavit of the petitioners is that due to health issues the counsel could not attend the Court and for that reason only, the petitioners could not properly appreciate the facts. In these circumstances, this Court is of the view that sufficient reasons were assigned by the petitioners/claimants.	

Accordingly, these applications are allowed by
condoning the delay of 243 days in filing the I.A.No.2 of
2024 as well restore the main appeal to its file.

SV,J

M.A.C.M.A.No.912 of 2016

List the matter on 12.11.2024.

SV,J

KNN