

HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH AT AMARAVATHI

M.A.C.M.A.No. 912 of 2016

PROCEEDINGS HEET.

Sl. No	DATE	ORDER	Office Note
18	29.04.2026	<u>GTK,J</u> <u>I.A.No.1 of 2025 and I.A.No.2 of 2025.</u> These applications are filed in pursuance of the dismissal of the appeal for default. Learned Counsel for the appellant representing Sri Venna Hemanth Kumar, submitted his arguments that since his name was not reflected in the place of appellant's counsel, he could not attend on 10.04.2026 and argue the matter to restore the petition to the file. There is no serious opposition on behalf of the Insurance Company's counsel Sri Amancharla Satish Babu. This Court opines that interest of justice will be met if the same is restored by imposing costs of Rs.2,000/- (Rupees two thousand only) to be payable to the Andhra Pradesh Advocates Bar Association, High	

Court of Andhra Pradesh, within a period of two (02) weeks.

Accordingly I.A.No.1 of 2025 is ordered.

In view of the above order passed in I.A.No.1 of 2025, the petition in I.A.No.2 of 2025 to dispense with the order copy is also allowed.

M.A.C.M.A.No. 912 of 2016

Today when the matter is called, Mr Chaithanya, learned Counsel representing Sri Hemanth Kumar Venna represented that he received fresh instructions to file vakalat in the place of the erstwhile counsel Sri G.Surappa naidu and thereafter, Sri Hemanth Kumar Venna filed vakalat, but his name is not reflected in the place of the appellant's Counsel.

Office is directed to print the name of Sri Hemanth Kumar Venna, in the place of Sri G.Surappa naidu and delete the name of Sri G.Surappa Naidu.

List the matter after summer vacation, 2026.

KS

GTK,J