



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH
AT AMARAVATI
(Special Original Jurisdiction)**

[3332]

**TUESDAY, THE TWENTY FOURTH DAY OF FEBRUARY
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY SIX**

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE RAVI CHEEMALAPATI

CIVIL REVISION PETITION NO: 1323/2025

Between:

T. Ravindra Kumar Reddy

...PETITIONER

AND

The Andhra Pradesh State Finance Corporation and
Others

...RESPONDENT(S)

Counsel for the Petitioner:

1.PAPUDIPPU SASHIDAR REDDY

Counsel for the Respondent(S):

1.G R SUDHAKAR

The Court made the following order:

I.A.No.1 of 2025

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner filed O.S.No.79 of 2012 on the file of the Court of I Additional District Judge, Kadapa against respondent No.2 for recovery of amount and the same was decreed. For realization of decretal amount, the petitioner filed E.P.No.319 of 2018 for attachment of Rs.16,75,122/- lying in S.F.C.O.P.No.485 of 2012 on the file of the Court of Principal District Judge, Kadapa.

He further submits that recently the petitioner came to know that while a sum of Rs.29,80,589/- was lying in the said S.F.C.O.P No.485/2012, the Decree Holder in E.P.No.426 of 2018 in O.S.No.12 of 2017 on the file of the Court of VI Additional District Judge, Kadapa filed an application to send for an amount of Rs.15,00,000/- lying in the said S.F.C.O.P. The said application was allowed, however entire amount of Rs.29,80,589/- was transmitted to the CCD of VI Additional District Judge, Kadapa, instead of requested amount of Rs.15,00,000/- . The decree holder thereafter withdrew Rs.15,00,000/- in full satisfaction and at present a sum of Rs.14,89,589/- is lying in CCD of VI Additional District Judge, Kadapa in E.P.No.426 of 2018 in O.S.No.12 of 2017. He further submits that if the remaining amount is also realized by other decree holders, the petitioner would suffer irreparable loss and hardship and the decree obtained by him would be rendered meaningless. As such, prayed to pass appropriate orders protecting the interest of the petitioner.

Perused the material available on record. The submissions made by the learned counsel for petitioner and the grounds raised in this Revision Petition *prima facie* makes out a point for consideration to be decided in this Revision Petition. Hence, this Court is inclined to grant the following interim direction:

The VI Additional District Judge, Kadapa is directed not to disburse the amount to a tune of Rs.14,89,589/-, if any, which was lying in E.P.No.426 of 2018 in O.S.No.12 of 2017 on the file of the Court of VI Additional District Judge, Kadapa for a period of four (4) weeks.

C.R.P.No.1323 of 2025

Perusal of record shows that notice has not been served on respondent No.2.

Issue notice to respondent No.2.

Learned counsel for the petitioner is permitted to take out personal notice on respondent No.2 by registered post with acknowledgment due and file proof of service in the Registry within two (2) weeks.

Post on 30.03.2026.

JUSTICE RAVI CHEEMALAPATI

Date: 24.02.2026

MP