

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH AT AMARAVATI
(SPECIAL ORIGINAL JURISDICTION)**

**FRIDAY, THE TWENTY SEVENTH DAY OF FEBRUARY,
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY SIX**



**:PRESENT:
THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE SUBBA REDDY SATTI**

WRIT PETITION NO: 5745 OF 2026

Between:

Smt. Eluri Varalakshmi, W/o. Venkata Durga Ramesh, 39 years,
R/o.D.No.4-99/1, Doddanapudi (V), Kalla (M), West Godavari District
534237

Petitioner

AND

1. The State of Andhra Pradesh, rep by its Principal Secretary, Panchayat Raj and Rural Development Department, Secretariat (Buildings), Velagapudi, Thulluru (M), Amaravati, Guntur (D).
2. Doddanapudi Grampanchayat, rep by its Panchayat Secretary, Doddanapudi village, Kalla Mandal, West Godavari District 534237.
3. The Assistant Engineer, Irrigation Department, Akividu, Akividu Mandal, West Godavari District 534235.
4. The Deputy Executive Engineer, Irrigation Department, Undi, Undi Mandal, West Godavari District 534235
5. The District Collector, West Godavari District, Bhimavaram 534203.
6. Tahsildar, Kalla Mandal, West Godavari (D) 534237.
7. Velivela Venkateswara Rao, S/o. Subba Rao, aged 52 years, R/o. Doddanapudi (V), Kalla (M), West Godavari (D) 534237.

Respondents

7

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, is filed praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed therewith, the High Court may be pleased to issue a Writ of Mandamus any other appropriate Writ or Writs, Order or Direction, declaring that the highhanded action of 2nd & 3rd Respondents in trying to demolish the cement ramp which was constructed by the Petitioner towards east of within 10 cents of their land for ingress and egress, situated in RS.No.220 of Doddanapudi (V), Kalla (M), West Godavari (D), is without notice, without jurisdiction, illegal, arbitrary, unjust, violative of Article 14, 19(1)(g), 21 and 300-A of the Constitution of India, apart from fundamental rights guaranteed under the Constitution consequently directing the 2nd & 3rd Respondents not to interfere with the possession and enjoyment of the Petitioner's land of 10 cents of subject land consisting cement ramp for ingress and egress to reach Petitioner property, situated in RS.No.220, Doddanapudi (V), Kalla (M), West Godavari (D), pending disposal of the above Writ Petition;

IA NO: 1 OF 2026

Petition under Section 151 CPC., is filed praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased to directing the 2nd & 3rd Respondents not to interfere with the possession and enjoyment of the Petitioner's land of 10 cents of subject land consisting cement ramp for ingress and egress to reach Petitioner property, situated in RS.No.220, Doddanapudi (V), Kalla (M), West Godavari (D), pending disposal of the above Writ Petition; —

The petition coming on for hearing, upon perusing the Petition and the affidavit filed in support thereof and the High Court order dated 26.02.2026 made herein and upon hearing the arguments of Sri. K K DURGA PRASAD, Advocate for the Petitioner and of GP FOR PANCHAYAT RAJ & RURAL DEV, for the Respondent No.1 and of Sri. Y. KOTESWARA RAO, STANDING COUNSEL, for the Respondent No.2 and of GP FOR IRRIGATION & CAD for

7
the Respondent Nos.3 & 4 and of GP FOR REVENUE, for the Respondent Nos.5 & 6;

The Court made the following ORDER:

Today when the matter is taken up for consideration, Sri Y.Koteswara Rao, learned Standing counsel for the 2nd respondent, submitted the written instructions of the Panchayat Secretary of the 2nd respondent, and Sri A.Naringh Rao, learned counsel submitted the written instructions of the 4th respondent.

A perusal of the written instructions of the 4th respondent would indicate that the petitioner constructed a culvert on a Rythuvari bodhi, which off-takes from Aijamuru Canal at Km. 10.00 on right bank of it and running about 2 Km to serve an Ayacut of 22 Acres. The petitioner placed slabs measuring 2.5 X 6 feet over a length of 72 feet, which is objectionable for other farmers while taking up silting works. Only two plates were removed and the remaining plates are existing at the same place.

The written instructions of the Panchayat Secretary of the 2nd respondent would indicate that the neighboring farmers made a complaint in PGRS. The 2nd respondent informed the husband of the petitioner to ensure free flow of water. The Tahsildar and the Irrigation Assistant Engineer requested the petitioner and her husband to create two or three manholes to clear the debris. However, neither the petitioner nor her husband accepted the said proposal.

Sri K.K.Durga Prasad, learned counsel for the petitioner, would submit that the petitioner and her husband have no objection to create two or three manholes to clear the debris.

Sri Y.Koteswara Rao, learned Standing counsel for the 2nd respondent seeks time to get further instructions regarding the creation of two or three manholes and the extent of land for each manhole.

List the matter on 03.03.2026 in the Adjourned Motion List.

Interim order granted earlier is extended till then.

SD/-K.V.RAGHAVULU
ASSISTANT REGISTRAR


SECTION OFFICER

//TRUE COPY//

To,

1. One CC to Sri. K K DURGA PRASAD, Advocate [OPUC]
2. One CC to Sri. Y. KOTESWARA RAO, STANDING COUNSEL [OPUC]
3. Two CCs to GP FOR PANCHAYAT RAJ RURAL DEV, High Court Of Andhra Pradesh. [OUT]
4. Two CCs to GP FOR REVENUE, High Court Of Andhra Pradesh. [OUT]
5. Two CCs to GP FOR IRRIGATION, High Court Of Andhra Pradesh. [OUT]
6. One spare copy

AJ

HIGH COURT

SRS, J

DATED:27/02/2026

LIST THE MATTER ON 03.03.2026 IN THE ADJOURNED MOTION LIST

ORDER

WP.No.5745 of 2026

INTERIM ORDER EXTENDED

