



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH
AT AMARAVATI
(Special Original Jurisdiction)**

[3233]

MONDAY, THE TWENTIETH DAY OF APRIL
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY SIX

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE B KRISHNA MOHAN

WRIT PETITION NO: 10266/2026

Between:

1. KARRI NOOKALAMMA, W/O LATE APPALA NAIDU AGED ABOUT 63 YEARS, RESIDING AT D.NO 4-41, TALARIVANIPALEM, AGANAMPUDI, VISAKHAPATNAM-46.
2. KARRI RAMU, S/O LATE APPALA NAIDU, AGED ABOUT 45 YEARS, RESIDING AT D.NO 4-41, TALARIVANIPALEM, AGANAMPUDI, VISAKHAPATNAM-46.
3. KARRI SRINIVAS RAO, S/O LATE APPALA NAIDU, AGED ABOUT 40 YEARS, RESIDING AT D.NO 4-41, TALARIVANIPALEM, AGANAMPUDI, VISAKHAPATNAM-46.
4. KARRI CHINATALLI, W/O LATE KANNAYYA, AGED ABOUT 58 YEARS, RESIDING AT D.NO 4-40, TALARIVANIPALEM, AGANAMPUDI, VISAKHAPATNAM-46.

...PETITIONER(S)

AND

1. THE STATE OF ANDHRA PRADESH, REP. BY ITS PRINCIPAL SECRETARY, REVENUE DEPARTMENT, A.P. SECRETARIAT, VELAGAPUDI, AMARAVATHI, GUNTUR DISTRICT.
2. THE DISTRICT COLLECTOR, VISAKHAPATNAM DISTRICT.
3. THE REVENUE DIVISIONAL OFFICER, (APPELLATE AUTHORITY UNDER THE A.P. RIGHTS IN LAND AND PATTADHAR PASS BOOKS ACT, 1971), VISAKHAPATNAM DIVISION,

VISAKHAPATNAM DISTRICT, A.P.

4. THE TAHSILDAR, GAJUWAKA MANDAL, GAJUWAKA, VISAKHAPATNAM, A.P.
5. SMT KARRI CHELLAYYAMMA, W/O LATE DEMUDU, AGED 54 YEARS, RESIDING AT D.NO 56-4-55/A , EDULUPAKA BONANGI, PHARMACITY COLONY, VADLAPUDI, VISAKHAPATNAM-46.
6. KARRI NEELABABU, , S/O LATE DEMUDU, AGED 40 YEARS, RESIDING AT D.NO. 56-4-55/A , EDULUPAKA, BONANGI, PHARMACITY COLONY, VADLAPUDI, VISAKHAPATNAM-46.
7. KARRI NOOKARAJU, S/O LATE DEMUDU, AGED 36 YEARS, RESIDING AT D.NO 4-59 TALARVANIPALEM, AGANAMPUDI, VISAKHAPATNAM-46.
8. SMT TALARI BORAMMA, W/O PYDIKONDA, D/O LATE DEMUDU, AGED 38 YEARS, RESIDING AT D.NO. 4-74, TALARIVANIPALEM, AGANAMPUDI, VISAKHAPATNAM-46
9. SMT KARRI LAKSHMI, W/O LATE TATA RAO, AGED 54 YEARS, RESIDING AT D.NO. 4-58, TALARIVANIPALEM AGANAMPUDI,VISAKHAPATNAM-46.
- 10.KARRI RAJU, S/O LATE TATA RAO, AGED 35 YEARS, RESIDING AT D.NO. 4-58, TALARIVANIPALEM, AGANAMPUDI, VISAKHAPATNAM-46.
- 11.SMT SALAPU SANTHOSHI, W/O DURGA RAO, D/O LATE TATA RAO, AGED 38 YEARS, RESIDING AT DOOR NO. 1-137/A, SALAPUVANIPALEM, PARAWADA MANDAL, THADI, VISAKHAPATNAM-531119.
- 12.KARRI NARESH, S/O LATE TATA RAO, AGED 32 YEARS, RESIDING AT D.NO.4-58 TALARIVANIPALEM, AGANAMPUDI POST, VISAKHAPATNAM-46.

...RESPONDENT(S):

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed therewith, the High Court may be pleased topleased to issue writ, order or direction more particularly one in the nature of Writ of Mandamus, declaring the action of the 3rd respondent in

proceedings with the Appeal case No. Rc.No.1073/2025, filed by the Respondents No.5 to 12 for cancellation of Pattadhar Pass Books and Title Deeds of the petitioners, as without jurisdiction, illegal, arbitrary and violative of the provisions of the A.P.Rights in land and pattadhar pass books act, 1971, apart from being violative of Articles 14,21, and 300-A of the Constitution of India and consequently direct the 3rd respondent to drop the further proceedings in the pending appeal case R.C.No. 1073/2025, and pass

IA NO: 1 OF 2026

Petition under Section 151 CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased to stay all and further proceedings in Appeal case vide RC.No.1073/2025 pending before the 3rdRespondent, disposal of writ petition and pass

Counsel for the Petitioner(S):

1.G RAMA GOPAL

Counsel for the Respondent(S):

1.GP FOR REVENUE

The Court made the following Order:

Heard the learned counsel for the petitioners and the learned Assistant Government Pleader for Revenue appearing for the respondent Nos.1 to 4.

2. The issuance of notices to the respondent Nos.5 to 12 is dispensed with as no prejudice would cause to them even if it is disposed of in their absence.

3. This writ petition is filed questioning the entertaining of appeal filed by the unofficial respondents herein before the 3rd respondent in ROR Appeal No.1073/2025.

4. The learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners herein are the respondents therein and the said appeal is barred by time and there is a Civil Suit pending between the parties concerned.

5. On the other hand, the learned Assistant Government Pleader for Revenue appearing for the respondent Nos.1 to 4 submits that the said Appellate Authority can dispose of the appeal by following the due procedure and the petitioners herein as the respondent therein can raise all the objections seeking disposal of the appeal.

6. In view of the above said facts and circumstances, as the ROR Appeal No.1073/2025 is pending before the 3rd respondent, the same shall be considered and disposed of, strictly in accordance with law, by hearing all the parties concerned, including the petitioners and the unofficial respondents herein/respondent Nos.5 to 12 herein, giving due opportunity, upon verification

of the records and the subject land, appropriate decision shall be taken on its own merits and the objections raised by the petitioners herein in the above said appeal shall also be taken into consideration by the said Appellate Authority for disposal of the above said appeal on merits. The said appeal shall be disposed of as expeditiously as possible, preferably within a period of four (04) months from the date of receipt of this order.

7. Accordingly, this Writ Petition is disposed of. Interim order if any deemed to have been vacated. There shall be no order as to costs.

As a sequel, Interlocutory Applications pending, if any, shall stand closed.

JUSTICE B. KRISHNA MOHAN

20.04.2026
PGT