

HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH :: AMARAVATHI

MAIN CASE NO: M.A.C.M.A.No.375 of 2014
PROCEEDING SHEET

SL. NO.	DATE	ORDER	OFFICE NOTE
7.	10.04.2026	<p data-bbox="459 528 603 566"><u>AHHS, J</u></p> <p data-bbox="459 591 1230 1104">The record received with reference to M.V.O.P.No.199 of 2008 on the file of the Motor Accidents Claims Tribunal-cum- XI Additional District Judge (FTC), Kakinada, is not matching with the order and decree enclosed to the grounds of appeal. Therefore, the Registry is directed to verify and get clarification from the concerned District Judge on the following aspects:</p> <ol data-bbox="560 1126 1230 1581" style="list-style-type: none"><li data-bbox="560 1126 1230 1279">1) Whether M.V.O.P.No.199 of 2008 is allotted to both Rajahmundry and Kakinanda.<li data-bbox="560 1305 1230 1581">2) Whether the record sent pertains to M.V.O.P.No.199 of 2008 on the file of the Motor Accidents Claims Tribunal-cum-VI Additional District Judge (FTC), Rajahmundry, East Godavari District. <p data-bbox="560 1644 1038 1682">Post the matter on 01.05.2026.</p> <p data-bbox="1050 1800 1230 1877" style="text-align: right;">AHHS, J Knr</p>	