



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH  
AT AMARAVATI  
(Special Original Jurisdiction)**

**[3328]**

FRIDAY, THE SEVENTEENTH DAY OF APRIL  
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY SIX

**PRESENT**

**THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE GANNAMANENI RAMAKRISHNA  
PRASAD**

**WRIT PETITION NO: 5354/2026**

**Between:**

1. SHAIK HASSAN BASHA (BASHI), S/O. SALEHA, AGED ABOUT 45 YEARS, OCCBUSINESS, JOINT SECRETARY, HALEEM AND FALOODA STALLS WELFARE ASSOCIATION COMMITTEE, R/O. 8-13-35, KATTAVARI STREET, WYNCHIPETA, VIJAYAWADA-, NTR DISTRICT.

**...PETITIONER**

**AND**

1. THE STATE OF ANDHRA PRADESH, REP BY ITS SPECIAL CHIEF SECRETARY, MUNICIPAL ADMINISTRATION AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT, SECRETARIAT BUILDINGS, VELAGAPUDI, AMARAVATHI GUNTUR DISTRICT.- 522241
2. THE DISTRICT COLLECTOR, O/O COLLECTORATE, OLD SUB COLLECTOR OFFICE, MG ROAD VIJAYAWADA NTR DISTRICT - 520010
3. THE SUB COLLECTOR, OPP RADIO STATION OLD MONTESSORI SCHOOL, MG ROAD VIJAYAWADA NTR DISTRICT - 520010
4. VIJAYAWADA MUNICIPAL CORPORATION, REP BY ITS COMMISSIONER, JAWAHARLAL NEHRU BUILDING COMPLEX, VIJAYAWADA NTR DISTRICT - 520001
5. THE CITY PLANNER, VIJAYAWADA MUNICIPAL CORPORATION, VIJAYAWADA NTR DISTRICT 520001

6. THE COMMISSIONER OF POLICE, PINGALI VENKAIAH MARG, NEAR PWD GROUNDS, SURYARAOPETA, BUCKINGHAMPETA, VIJAYAWADA NTR DISTRICT - 520002
7. THE DEPUTY EDUCATIONAL OFFICER, K-SECTION, VIJAYAWADA MUNICIPAL CORPORATION, JAWAHARLAL NEHRU BUILDING COMPLEX, VIJAYAWADA NTR DISTRICT-520001
8. THE SUPERINTENDENT ENGINEER, ANDHRA PRADESH CENTRAL POWER DISTRIBUTION CORPORATION LTD, NEAR PWD GROUNDS, VIJAYAWADA, NTR DISTRICT 520001
9. THE ASSISTANT ENGINEER, ELECTRICITY DEPARTMENT APCPDCL, VIJAYAWADA- WEST, NTR DISTRICT 520001
10. THE HEAD MASTER, MOHAMMADALIPURAM MUNICIPAL SCHOOL, NEAR GANDHI BOMMA, WYNCHIPET, VIJAYAWADA, NTR DISTRICT 520001

**...RESPONDENT(S):**

**Counsel for the Petitioner:**

1. CORPUS JURIS LAW PANEL LLP

**Counsel for the Respondent(S):**

1. GP FOR EDUCATION
2. GP FOR MUNICIPAL ADMN URBAN DEV
3. V V SATISH
4. S.V.S.S.SIVARAM SC For VMC

**The Court made the following:**

**ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Sri Siva Ram, learned Counsel for the Writ Petitioner appearing online and Sri Goli Sai, learned Junior Standing Counsel for the Municipal Corporation.

2. On the basis of certain statements made by the learned Counsel for the Petitioner on 16.03.2026, this Court has taken a serious view and directed the

Commissioner of the Vijayawada Municipal Corporation to appear before the Court to answer its queries.

3. Sri Goli Sai, learned Junior Standing Counsel for the Municipal Corporation has placed on record material indicating that the Orders of this Court have been scrupulously complied with, and that no Order of this Court has remained uncomplined with by the Official Respondents.

4. Having been satisfied with the submissions made by the learned Counsel appearing for the Official Respondents, this Court, *prima facie*, found that the Writ Petitioner herein had misled the Court to draw an adverse inference against the Official Respondents.

5. When this Court expressed its *prima facie* opinion, the learned Counsel for the Petitioner sought time to file an Affidavit. In compliance with the Order of this Court, the Petitioner filed an Additional Affidavit on 06.04.2026.

6. The Court has carefully perused the contents of the Additional Affidavit. After having sifted through the contents of the Additional Affidavit, this Court is, rather, not satisfied with the Explanation given by the Writ Petitioner, inasmuch as the Writ Petitioner is misleading the Court by making false statements of fact. No litigant who comes before the Court shall be permitted to attempt to mislead it by making false statements.

7. In this view of the matter, this Court finds the Writ Petitioner guilty of making wrong statements without any foundation.

8. Sri Siva Ram, learned Counsel for the Writ Petitioner appearing online, would submit that the Writ Petitioner is a small vendor and requested the Court to take a lenient view.

9. This Court, having considered the said request, declines to take a lenient view, inasmuch as the Writ Petitioner has overreached the indulgence shown by this Court and made false statements against responsible Officers who are discharging their Official Duties.

10. In this view of the matter, the Writ Petitioner is directed to pay Exemplary Costs of Rs.10,000/- for having attempted to mislead the Court to initiate Proceedings against responsible Officers. The said amount shall be paid within two (02) weeks from today in favour of the President, Andhra Pradesh High Court Advocates' Association. The Registry is directed to supply a copy of this Order to the President of the said Association forthwith for effective compliance.

11. Since the Haleem Season is already over and the shops have now been removed, as per the statement made by Sri Goli Sai, learned Junior Standing Counsel for the Municipal Corporation, this Court is of the opinion that no further consideration is necessary.

12. The Writ Petitioner is hereby warned that he shall not make any wrong statements henceforth.

13. With these observations and directions, the Writ Petition is disposed of. No order as to costs.

14. Interlocutory Applications, if any, stand closed in terms of this order.

**GANNAMANENI RAMAKRISHNA PRASAD, J**

Dt: 17.04.2026

DSV

166

**HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE GANNAMANENI RAMAKRISHNA PRASAD**

**WRIT PETITION NO: 5354/2026**

**17.04.2026**

DSV

**HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH AT AMARAVATHI****MAIN CASE No. WRIT PETITION NO: 5354/2026****PROCEEDING SHEET**

Sl. No	DATE	ORDER	Office Note
	17.04.2026	<p data-bbox="516 569 651 604"><b><u>GRKP, J</u></b></p> <p data-bbox="607 648 1000 684">Writ Petition is disposed of.</p> <p data-bbox="740 720 1040 756">(vide separate order)</p> <p data-bbox="516 913 574 940">DSV</p> <p data-bbox="1097 869 1230 905"><b>GRKP, J</b></p>	

