



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH
AT AMARAVATI
(Special Original Jurisdiction)**

[3458]

WEDNESDAY, THE TWENTY NINTH DAY OF APRIL
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY SIX

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE SMT JUSTICE KIRANMAYEE MANDAVA

WRIT PETITION NO: 5606/2021

Between:

1. ARIVUNDAINAMBI SEETHAPATHI,, S/O LATE SEETHAPATHY,
AGED ABOUT 60 YEARS, OCC- BUSINESS, H.NO.68, RADHA
NAGAR, MAIN ROAD, CHROMPET, KANCHEEPURAM, TAMIL NADU
STATE.
2. PALAGANI MALLESWARI,, W/O PALAGANIDURGAKESAVA
PRASAD, AGED ABOUT 40 YEARS, OCC- HOUSE WIFE, RIO
HOUSE NO.4-11-55, AMBAKAPALLI ROAD, PULIVENDULA TOWN,
Y.S.R. DISTRICT.

...PETITIONER(S)

AND

1. THE STATE OF ANDHRA PRADESH, REP., BY ITS PRINCIPAL
SECRETARY, REVENUE DEPARTMENT, SECRETARIAT BUILDINGS,
VELAGAPUDI, GUNTUR DISTRICT.
2. THE DISTRICT COLLECTOR, , Y.S.R DISTRICT, KADAPA.
3. THE REVENUE DIVISIONAL OFFICER,
JAMMALAMADUGU REVENUE DIVISION, JAMMALAMADUGU, YSR
DISTRICT.
4. THE TAHASILDAR, , PULIVENDULAMANDAL, Y.S.R DISTRICT.
5. THE SUBREGISTRAR, , PULIVENDULA, Y.S.R. DISTRICT.

...RESPONDENT(S):

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed therewith, the High Court may be pleased topleased to issue an appropriate Writ, Order or direction more particularly one in the nature of Writ of Mandamus declaring the Prohibitory Lands list communicated by the respondent No.2 through the proceedings in Ref. No.POT/364/2016, dated 10.03.2018 including the land in an extent of Ac.4-26 cents in Sy.No.99/1 of K.Velamvaripalli Village fields, PulivendulaMandal, YSR District as an assigned land and the consequential action of the respondent No.5 in refusing to entertain the sale deeds for registration, stating that the same is included as assigned land in the Prohibitory Lands List communicated by the respondent No.2 as arbitrary, illegal, quite contrary to the provisions of the Registration Act, 1908 and the well established legal principles apart from being violative of the fundamental and Constitutional rights guaranteed to the petitioners under Articles 14, 19, 21 and 300-A of the Constitution of India and consequently direct the respondent No.5 to entertain the Sale deed for registration for the land in an extent Ac.4.26 cents in Sy.No.99/1 of K.Velamvaripalli Village Fields, PulivenudlaMandal, YSR District by setting aside the Prohibitory Land List under Section 22-A (I)(a) of the Registration Act,1908 communicated through the proceedings in Ref.No.POT/364/2016, dated 10.03.2018 by the respondent No.2, in respect of the said extent of land is concerned and pass

IA NO: 1 OF 2021

Petition under Section 151 CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased to direct the respondent No.5 to entertain Sale Deeds for registrationfor registration for the land in an extent Ac.4.26 cents in Sy.No.99/1 of K. Velamvaripalli Village Fields, PulivenudlaMandal, YSR District, without referring to the Prohibitory Land List under Section 22-A (1)(a) of the IIRegistration Act, 1908 communicated through the proceedings in I Ref.No.POT/364/2016, dated 10.03.2018 by the respondent No.2, pending disposal of the above Writ Petition and pass

IA NO: 1 OF 2025

Petition under Section 151 CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased May be pleased to grant leave to the Respondent No.5 in the Writ Petition No.5606 of 2021 to file counter affidavit in the above writ petition and pass

Counsel for the Petitioner(S):

1.V R REDDY KOVVURI

Counsel for the Respondent(S):

1.GP FOR REVENUE

The Court made the following:

ORDER:-

Heard Sri V.R.Reddy Kovvuri, learned counsel for the petitioners and learned Assistant Government Pleader for Revenue appearing for the respondent Nos.1 to 5.

2. The grievance of the petitioner in the instant writ petition is against the action of the respondents in keeping the petitioners' property in an extent of Ac.4.26 cents in Sy.No.99/1 of K.Velamvaripalli Village Fields, Pulivendula Mandal, YSR District under prohibited category under Section 22 A (1) (a) of the Registration Act, 1908.

3. The petitioners contend that the 1st petitioner and two others had purchased the subject land through a registered sale deed bearing document No.863 of 1988 dated 20.05.1988 from one Shaik Khaseembi. The said Shaik Khaseembi, in turn, has purchased the same through a registered sale deed bearing document No.11 of 1983 dated 02.02.1983. Recognizing the possession and the enjoyment of the petitioners over the subject land, the revenue records have been mutated and the Pattadar Passbook and Title Deeds were issued in favour of the petitioners. It is contended that the 1st petitioner and two others sold their property in favour of the 2nd petitioner through an unregistered sale deed dated 17.10.2010. In pursuance thereof,

the possession of the subject property has been delivered to the 2nd petitioner and revenue records have also been updated and pattadar passbooks were also issued. Learned counsel for the petitioners contends that the petitioners have purchased the subject property by way of registered sale deeds, however, respondents have included the subject property under prohibited category without issuing any notice to the petitioners.

4. It is stated that after filing of the writ petition, the 2nd petitioner made an application before the District Collector dated 31.03.2026 seeking deletion of the subject property from the prohibited category under Section 22 A (1) (a) of the Registration Act, 1908. In pursuance of the said application, the District Collector had called for the reports from the concerned Revenue Divisional Officer and Tahsildar. The Authorities have recommended the deletion of the subject property from the prohibited category under Section 22 A (1) (a) of the Registration Act, 1908. The learned counsel for the petitioners, placing reliance on the said reports of the Revenue Divisional Officer and Tahsildar, prays for an appropriate direction to dispose of the representation dated 31.03.2026.

5. The respondents filed counter affidavit stating that the petitioners have to avail an alternative remedy to approach the appropriate authority to delete the lands from the list of prohibited properties under Section 22 A (1) (a) of the Registration Act, 1908.

6. Learned counsel for the petitioners, referring their own application dated 31.03.2026, contends that the District Collector may consider and pass appropriate orders in terms of the reports of the Revenue Divisional Officer and Tahsildar.

7. Having regard to the contentions advanced, this Court deems it appropriate to dispose of the writ petition directing the District Collector – 2nd respondent to pass appropriate orders on the representation of the 2nd petitioner dated 31.03.2026 within a period of four (4) weeks from the date of the receipt of the order.

8. With the above direction, the writ petition is disposed of. There shall be no order as to costs.

As a sequel, miscellaneous applications pending, if any, shall also stand closed.

JUSTICE KIRANMAYEE MANDAVA

Dated: 29.04.2026
ANI

106

THE HONOURABLE SMT JUSTICE KIRANMAYEE MANDAVA

WRIT PETITION NO:5606 of 2021

Dated: 29.04.2026

ANI