

HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH

**MAIN CASE No: C.C.Nos.1470, 1471, 1475, 1476, 1477,
1480 AND 1484 OF 2023**

PROCEEDING SHEET

SL. NO.	<u>DATE</u>	<u>ORDER</u>	<u>OFFICE NOTE</u>
12.	09.08.2023	<u>RNT,J</u> Sri Kasa Jaganmohan Reddy, learned counsel for the respondents submits that in compliance of the order dated 07.07.2022 passed in W.P.No.40372 of 2018 & batch, the names of 364 petitioners have been included in the list of eligible persons annexed in Page Nos.17 to 35 of the counter affidavit in the contempt petition. He further submits that the list of the ineligible petitioners is included in list annexed in Page Nos.36 to 105, from Sl.No.1 to 744. He submits that such consideration has been made keeping in view the condition in G.O.Ms.No.98, dated 15.04.1986 r/w Memo No.7321/R&R-A2/2013-1, dated 22.08.2013. He submits that under the G.O.Ms.No.98, period of one year was prescribed from the date of actual displacement of the family, for filing application for appointment. He submits that though by memo dated 22.08.2013, the period of one year was extended, but it was extended only with respect to the displaced persons prior to 15.04.1986, provided they had already submitted	

<u>SL. NO.</u>	<u>DATE</u>	<u>ORDER</u>	<u>OFFICE NOTE</u>
		their applications which were pending/under rejection for non-fulfilment of the time of limitation, on the date of memo dated 22.08.2013. 2. He submits that if any of the petitioner considers that his name has been incorrectly not included in the list of eligible candidates, such person may file an application and the same shall be considered. 3. Sri V. R. Reddy Kovvuri, learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the aforesaid submission as advanced with respect to the time limit of filing application pursuant to G.O.Ms.No.98, cannot be raised contrary to the own stand of the respondents in the writ petition. He further submits that the aforesaid contention was already considered in W.P.No.40372 of 2018 & batch in which judgment dated 07.07.2022 was passed. It is the admitted case of the respondents in the writ petition that the fresh list was prepared containing the names of 14,554 applicants, in terms of G.O.Ms.No.98 and the memo dated 22.0.2013, in which all the petitioners were included. 4. Sri V. R. Reddy Kovvuri, submits that instead of complying with the judgment, the respondents are taking a stand in contempt case, contrary to the stand taken in the writ petition.	

SL. NO.	<u>DATE</u>	<u>ORDER</u>	<u>OFFICE NOTE</u>
		5. Post the matter on 16.08.2023 for further hearing. RNT,J Scs	